



ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT

1st April 2014 – 31st March 2015



Leicestershire County Council

Annual Monitoring Report

1st April 2014 – 31st March 2015



Contents

EXECUTI	VE SUMMARY		I
1.0	INTRODUCTION		1
2.0	MINERALS AND WASTE	DEVELOPMENT SCHEME	2
INTRODU			
MINERAL	LS AND WASTE DEVELOPMENT DOCU	MENTS	2
OTHER F	RAMEWORK DOCUMENTS		3
		entent	
Susta	ainability Appraisal		. 3
3.0	EXISTING SAVED DEVE	LOPMENT DOCUMENTS	4
4.0	MONITORING AND CO-	OPERATION	5
Monitor Monitor Monitor	RING OF MINERALS POLICIESRING OF WASTE POLICIESRING OUTCOMES		8 17 30
5.0	CONCLUSION		35
PRODUC ₁	TION/CAPACITY DETERMIN	PLANNING APPLICATIONS FOR INCREASE NED BETWEEN 1 ST APRIL 2014 AND 31	ST
INCREAS	SED CAPACITY/NEW SITES I	RELATED PLANNING APPLICATIONS FO DETERMINED BETWEEN 1 ST APRIL 2014 AN	D
COUNTY'	S WASTE APPLICATIONS		37
	IX 3: THROUGHPUTS AND	STATUS OF PERMITTED WASTE FACILITIE	:S



List of Tables

TABLE 1. EXTANT MINERALS LOCAL PLAN POLICIES4
TABLE 2. EXTANT WASTE LOCAL PLAN POLICIES4
TABLE 3: OPERATIONAL CAPACITY OF LOCAL AUTHORITY COLLECTED WASTE COMPOSTING, RECOVERY, RECYCLING AND TRANSFER OPERATIONS41
TABLE 4: CAPACITY OF PERMITTED LOCAL AUTHORITY COLLECTED WASTE TRANSFER OPERATIONS44
TABLE 5: OPERATIONAL CAPACITY OF C&I (COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL) WASTE COMPOSTING, DISPOSAL (NOT LANDFILL), RECOVERY, RECYCLING AND TRANSFER OPERATIONS
TABLE 6: CAPACITY OF 'DORMANT' C&I (COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL) OPERATIONS50
TABLE 7: CAPACITY OF PERMITTED C&I (COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL) RECOVERY, RECYCLING AND TRANSFER OPERATIONS51
TABLE 8: OPERATIONAL CAPACITY OF NON INERT, NON HAZARDOUS LANDFILL OPERATIONS52
TABLE 9: OPERATIONAL CAPACITY OF C&D (INERT) WASTE RECYCLING AND TRANSFER OPERATIONS53
TABLE 10: CAPACITY OF PERMITTED C&D (INERT) WASTE RECYCLING OPERATIONS56
TABLE 11: OPERATIONAL CAPACITY OF C&D (INERT) LANDFILL OPERATIONS57
TABLE 12: CAPACITY OF PERMITTED C&D (INERT) WASTE LANDFILL OPERATIONS58
TABLE 13: OPERATIONAL CAPACITY OF HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL, RECYCLING AND TRANSFER OPERATIONS



Executive Summary

This Annual Monitoring Report has been prepared to report on the County Council's position in preparation of its Waste and Mineral Development Plan Documents (DPDs). It also includes an update to the new waste capacity approved within Leicestershire as well as Leicester City's administrative area. The report is available in hard copy and electronically on Leicestershire County Council's website (www.leics.gov.uk).

The report explains that work is progressing on the Minerals and Waste Local Plan. Monitoring highlighted one indicator where there was no movement towards the target, seven indicators where there was movement away from the target set, four indicators where there was movement towards the target and eighteen indicators where the target was met. The conclusion was that, in the main, the adopted policies were performing satisfactorily. A summary of the Authority's performance against each indicator is presented in the table below.



<u>Symbol</u>	<u>Meaning</u>	<u>Symbol</u>	<u>Meaning</u>
\checkmark	Target met.		Movement away from target.
	Movement towards target.	Contextual	Contextual Indicator, i.e. no target set.
	No movement towards or away from target.	No data	No data available in period of AMR.

Mineral Policies		Waste Policies	
Indicator	Performance	Indicator	Performance
Number of planning applications for mineral extraction by mineral type.	Contextual	Capacity of new waste management facilities by type	
Production of primary land won aggregates.		Amount of municipal waste arising and managed by management type and the percentage each management type represents of the waste managed.	
Level of landbank (stock of planning permissions) for non-energy minerals	√	Amount of other waste streams arising and managed by management type and the percentage each management type represents of the waste managed.	
Number of allocated sites granted planning permission.	Contextual	Number of strategic and non strategic sites developed by type within Broad Locations and Broad Locations, main urban areas and within of adjacent to existing waste sites, respectively.	
Number of planning permissions granted for minerals development outside allocated areas.	Contextual	Proportion of new sites, excluding landfill, developed on greenfield and brownfield sites.	
Production of secondary/recycled aggregates	Contextual	Number and annual throughput of new non-inert landfill sites.	√



Number of new aggregate recycling facilities.	Contextual	Number and capacity of inert landfill sites.	③
Location of new aggregate recycling facilities.	✓	Number of planning applications affecting sites or Areas of importance and decision taken.	Contextual
Number of temporary permissions for recycling facilities.	Contextual	Where planning permission is to be granted affecting sites of importance provision of measures in mitigation or compensation.	✓
Number of applications where sterilisation of mineral reserves raised as an issue, and decision taken.	Contextual	Where planning permission granted in National Forest area type and scale of landscape created.	✓
Number of applications refused due to adverse amenity or environmental effects.	Contextual	Provision of archaeological assessment and adequate safeguarding measures.	✓
Number of sites where enforcement action taken due to adverse amenity or environmental effects.	Contextual	Number of planning applications on green field sites in Green Wedge and Open Countryside locations and decision taken.	Contextual
Number of applications refused due to impact on Green Wedges.	Contextual	Number of planning applications on best and most versatile agricultural land and decision taken.	Contextual
Number of applications affecting Sites of Importance by type and the decision taken.	Contextual	Scale and type of new landscaping and woodland planting.	√
Where planning permission is to be granted affecting Sites of Importance provision of measures in mitigation or compensation.	No data	Number of schemes incorporating sustainable design principles.	
Provision of archaeological assessment and adequate safeguarding measures.	No data	Number of new sites permitted with renewable energy.	√
Number of new sites granted with alternative means of transportation to	\Leftrightarrow	Number of planning applications permitted with unacceptable amenity or environmental effects.	√



road.			
Number of applications refused due to adverse impact on residential or unsuitable roads.	Contextual	Enforcement action taken on grounds of adverse amenity or environmental effects.	Contextual
Number of applications adversely affecting public rights of way without mitigation or compensation.	No data	Number of new sites granted with alternative means of transportation to road.	Contextual
Number of sites where enforcement action taken due to unsatisfactory restoration.	Contextual	Number of planning applications where waste traffic could affect residential or unsuitable roads without routeing agreement.	✓
Number of new sites with restoration proposals to priority after-uses.	No data	Number of sites approved with new public rights of way created and length of new rights of way.	✓
Number of applications refused due to adverse amenity or environmental effects.	Contextual	Number of new sites refused in line with Environment Agency advice regarding protection of the water environment.	✓
Number of sites where enforcement action taken due to adverse amenity or environmental effects.	Contextual	Number of new sites refused in line with advice regarding air safeguarding.	✓
Number of planning permissions granted contrary to Environment Agency advice regarding protection of the water environment.	√	Number of planning applications refused due to insufficient information.	Contextual
Number of applications refused due to cumulative impact.	Contextual	Number of planning applications determined within National Indicator targets.	
Number of applications refused due to impact on Countryside.	Contextual	Number of new sites restored with aftercare when completed.	√
Number of applications refused due to impact on best and most versatile agricultural land.	Contextual	Area and type of new habitat created on restored waste sites.	✓



Areas of new landscaping and woodland planting.	No data	Number of new sites with reclamation proposals to priority after-uses.	√
Number of planning applications refused due to insufficient information.	Contextual	Form of planning conditions.	
Percentage of new mineral sites allowed on previously developed land.	Contextual	Number of permissions subject to planning obligations.	Contextual
% of applications determined within National Indicator targets.	\checkmark		
Form of planning conditions.	③		
Number of permissions subject to planning obligations and matters covered.	Contextual		
Number of planning permissions granted for developments dealt with by Policies MDC23-28; and the decision taken.	Contextual		



1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This Annual Monitoring Report has been prepared to report on the County Council's position in the preparation of its Waste and Minerals Development Documents and meets the requirements of Regulation 34 of The Town & Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. This is the eleventh Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) to be produced by Leicestershire County Council. This AMR covers the period from 1st April 2014 to 31st March 2015.
- 1.2 The content of the report is as follows:
 - a). an explanation of how the preparation of any Development Plan Document is progressing;
 - b). identification of those Local Plan policies 'saved' beyond the 3 year saved period and which remain extant;
 - c). the outcome of monitoring through a suite of targets and indicators within the adopted Core Strategies and Sustainability Appraisals, including changes to capacity, within the period of this AMR; and
 - d). an explanation of when and how the authority has co-operated with other authorities.
- 1.3 The report is available on Leicestershire County Council's website www.leics.gov.uk/ldf_annual_monitoring_reports.



2.0 Minerals and Waste Development Scheme

Introduction

- 2.1 The County Council's Local Development Scheme (LDS) explains the relationship between the 'old' Local Plans and the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Development Framework documents. The latest scheme became effective on 27th April 2007. The timetable published in this scheme was adhered to for the production of the Minerals and Waste Core Strategies but following their adoption a new timetable for the production of the Waste Site Allocations document was published. The newer timetable for the production of the Waste Site Allocations document was agreed by the County Council's Cabinet on 27th July 2010 but is no longer of relevance.
- 2.2 The Local Development Scheme (LDS) proposed that the following development documents are produced in respect of both minerals and waste:-
 - (i). Core Strategy & Development Control Policies document; comprising a spatial strategy and vision, strategic objectives, core policies and a monitoring and implementation framework and a number of policies which set out the criteria against which planning applications will be considered;
 - (ii). Site Specific Allocations and Policies; and
 - (iii). Proposals Map which will express geographically the development plan policies.

Minerals and Waste Development Documents

2.3 The only development document scheduled for production was the Waste Site Allocations document which was withdrawn and work on its preparation halted. Consultation on an 'Issues document', the first stage of reviewing the Waste and Minerals Core Strategies, took place between 22nd November 2013 and 24th January 2014. Although outside of the period covered by this AMR a further consultation on a draft Local Plan took place between 3rd July 2015 and 28th August 2015. A new timetable or a new local development scheme have not, as of yet, been produced. The review of the Waste Core Strategy is being undertaken without Leicester City who will include waste policies for the City within their Local Plan, i.e. alongside policies for housing and employment.

Minerals and Waste Policies Map

2.4 Policies Map (formerly called a proposals map) is a separate Ordnance Survey based document prepared, adopted and maintained by each planning authority for their area. The map would show the extent of the areas to which policies in adopted planning documents apply. So for Leicestershire County Council this would cover the County (excluding



Leicester City) and show any safeguarded areas/sites and land allocated for minerals and/or waste development.

Other Framework Documents

Statement of Community Involvement

2.5 The statement of community involvement was revised and adopted on 16th March 2015.

Sustainability Appraisal

2.6 Alongside the development plan documents (DPDs) there is a requirement to produce sustainability appraisal reports on the DPDs. A sustainability appraisal scoping report has been produced with the Issues Document setting out how the future consultation documents will be appraised and a sustainability appraisal report alongside the more recent consultation draft (July-August 2015).



3.0 Existing Saved Development Documents

- 3.1 Under transitional arrangements, adopted local plans were saved for a period of 3 years from the commencement of the 2004 Act, i.e. until 28th September 2007. This applied to the Leicestershire Minerals Local Plan Review adopted in May 1995 and the Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Waste Local Plan adopted in September 2002.
- 3.2 Where there was a need to retain the policies beyond the 3 year period, i.e. after 27th September 2007, the Planning Authority was required to demonstrate a need to retain them and gain the Secretary of State's agreement to issue a direction to save them.
- 3.3 The Secretary of State issued a direction dated 21st September 2007 in which it was laid out which policies could be retained for use until their replacement by Development Framework policies. With the adoption of the Minerals and Waste Core Strategy and Development Control Policies documents on 8th October 2009 the Local Plans, in the main, have been replaced. The full list of the Local Plan policies and their relationship to the adopted Core Strategies can be viewed in the 2009/10 AMR; the tables below show the remaining extant Local Plan policies.

Table 1. Extant Minerals Local Plan policies

Minerals Local Plan Policies
Policy 2
Policy 12 (in part)
Policy 15
Policy 16
Policy 21 (in part)
Policy 28

Table 2. Extant Waste Local Plan policies

Waste Local Plan Policies
Policy 1
Policy 7
Policy 15
Policy 19



4.0 Monitoring and Co-operation

Monitoring

- 4.1 Developing a monitoring system is a key means of assessing the effectiveness of a development plan document and whether the spatial vision, core strategy objectives and sustainability appraisal objectives are being delivered. Monitoring will aim to determine:
 - whether policies and related targets or milestones have been met or progress is being made towards meeting them, or where they are not being met or on track to being achieved, the reasons why;
 - what impact the policies are having in respect of national, regional and local policy targets and any other targets identified in the documents;
 - whether the policies, where adopted, need adjusting or replacing because they are not working as intended; and
 - if policies or proposals need changing, the actions needed to achieve this.
- 4.2 The tables below set out the policies and objectives to be monitored, the indicators used, the targets set and the performance. The timescale for measurement of the indicators (i.e. the target period) is the twelve months from 1st April 2014 to 31st March 2015 to coincide with that of the annual monitoring report, unless otherwise indicated.
- 4.3 The policies set out in the tables below are those contained within the adopted Minerals and Waste Core Strategy & Development Control Please note that for waste applications Policies documents. differentiation is made between new facilities that have obtained planning permission and are operational and those which have obtained planning permission but have not become operational. Only those operational waste facilities are used to update the current capacity for managing waste in the framework area. The capacity updates include those sites located within the administrative boundaries of Leicester City and the authorities have co-operated in collating these data. However, in all other respects the City Council has separate arrangements for reporting on the monitoring of waste sites and, therefore, these are not published in the County's monitoring report. Marquis Court, Moira and Lockington Quarry should have been reported in last year's AMR so they are reported in this AMR.
- 4.4 The EU revised Waste Framework Directive came in to force on 12 December 2008. This was transposed into English law through the Waste (England and Wales) Regulations 2011 which came into force from 29 March 2011. In paragraph 19 of Part 6 of the above Regulations planning authorities with planning functions in relation to establishments or undertakings carrying on disposal or recovery of waste must ensure that



periodic inspections of those establishments or undertakings are made. As Waste Planning Authority, Leicestershire County Council is placed with a duty to monitor the operational waste sites within its administrative borders granted permission by the Authority. This AMR reports on the percentage of minerals and waste sites the Authority has monitored during the period this report covers.

4.5 Alongside this, the tables within Appendix 3 set out the waste facilities permitted in Leicestershire and their status, throughputs and longevity. Where throughputs are stated as being sourced from 'EA Returns' the published figures were the maximum throughputs each site managed between 2006 and 2014. The tables were sourced from Appendix 1 of the Waste Needs Assessment dated December 2015. These data post-dates that which forms the basis of this AMR and as such there are differences between the two data sets. These relate to the recycling and disposal of C&D waste at Ellistown Quarry which is reported in the monitoring report policy tables in this AMR as not operational but since 31st March 2015 has begun operations and hence in the tables in Appendix 1 is reported as operational in the more recent Waste Needs Assessment. Also, the throughput to Greens Lodge Farm anaerobic digester has been updated.



Legend to Minerals and Waste Monitoring Tables

Symbol	Meaning
✓	Target met.
	Movement towards target.
	No movement towards or away from target.
	Movement away from target.
Contextual	Contextual Indicator, i.e. no target set.
No data	No data available in period of AMR.



Monitoring of Minerals Policies

Minerals Provision				
Policy MCS1: Supply of Minerals Policy MCS2: Aggregate Minerals Policy MCS3: Brickclay Policy MCS4: Fireclay Policy MCS5: Gypsum Policy MCS6: Building and Roofing Stone Policy MCS7: Coal Policy MCS8: Oil and Gas Policy MCS9: New Energy Production Tel Policy MCS1: Sustainable Mineral Devel	echniques	Objective 1 : To make sufficient provision to meet national, regional and local requirements, in particular the sub-regional apportionment requirements for aggregates provision.	cultural heritage associated with Leicestershire's mi	
Indicator	Target	Perfor	mance	
Number of planning applications for mineral extraction by mineral type.	Monitor only.	Zero. No applications determined in the period of this AMR.		Contextual
Production of primary land won aggregates.	Sales at agreed sub-regional apportionment levels.	Information is for the year 2014 (Jan-Dec) from the East Mi Aggregates Working Party. Data are as follows with average 2005-2014 in brackets: 2014 Crushed Rock 14.15 Mt (13.6 Mt) Sand & Gravel 1.45 Mt (1.12 Mt)	_	
Level of landbank (stock of planning permissions) for non-energy minerals	Minimum landbank of 7 years for aggregate minerals. Appropriate landbank for other non-energy minerals.	Landbank as of 31/12/2014, based on 10-year average sales Crushed Rock 30 years Sand & Gravel 8.1 years Source: AM2014 Survey	s, as follows:	√
Number of allocated sites granted planning permission.	Monitor only.	Zero, no applications determined for land allocated in the Work progressing on reviewing the Minerals Core Strategy.	e Minerals Local Plan.	Contextual
Number of planning permissions granted for minerals development outside allocated areas.	Monitor only.	Zero, no applications determined in period of this AMR.		Contextual



Resource Management				
Policy MCS10: Resource Management Policy MDC8: Safeguarding Mineral Resources Policy MDC9: Extraction in Advance of Surface Development Policy MDC22: Aggregate Recycling Indicator Target		Objective 2: To attain the maximum possible usage of recycled and secondary materials in meeting recognised national and regional requirements. Objective 3: To safeguard mineral resources from unnecessary sterilisation. Objective 4: To encourage the most efficient use of high quality minerals and the minimisation of waste materials. Perfor	of mineral reserves. SA Objective 14: To materials (including as maximise reuse and reconstruction of landfill.	promote the efficient use of raw ggregates), minimise waste and cycling in order to reduce the need step: To ensure waste development rilise mineral reserves
Production of secondary/recycled aggregates	Monitor only.	No data.		Contextual
Number of new aggregate recycling facilities.	Monitor only.	Three applications, one at Bardon Quarry for the temporary recycling of 180,000tpa of road planings until 31 st December 2051 (2014/0840/07) one at Cloud Hill Quarry for the recycling of 30,000tpa of C&D waste until 31 st December 2026 (2015/0042/07), and one at Lockington Quarry for the recycling of 40,000tpa of C&D waste until 23 rd February 2026 (2014/0072/07).		Contextual
Location of new aggregate recycling facilities.	All facilities at mineral sites to be located in accordance with the requirements of Policy MDC22.	100%. New recycling facilities (Bardon Quarry, Cloud Hill Quarry and Lockington Quarry) meet the requirements.		✓
Number of temporary permissions for recycling facilities.	Monitor only.	Three permissions for temporary facilities (2014/0840/0 2014/0072/07).	7, 2015/0042/07, and	Contextual
Number of applications where sterilisation of mineral reserves raised as an issue, and decision taken.	Monitor only.	Mineral sterilisation was raised as an issue in respect of 2014/15 and 3 applications that were undetermined at the One was refused although the decision did not include reasons. Two had concerns about potential mineral sterilist receipt of further information. Two were approved/resolved apparent consideration of mineral issues. One was resolved District Council did not consider that extraction could take padverse impacts to nearby residents. Two remain undetermined	ne end of March 2014. any minerals related ation withdrawn on the d to permit without any ed to permit where the blace without significant	Contextual



Livil officertal Frotection and Limancement		
Policy MCS11: Environmental Protection	Objective 5: To protect people and local communities and	SA Objective 1: To conserve and enhance wildlife had
Policy MCS12: Strategic River Corridors	the natural and built environment (particularly the River	species, avoiding damage to or fragmentation of major fe

Policy MCS13: Charnwood Forest

Policy MCS14: National Forest **Policy MCS15**: Green Wedges

Policy MDC3: Sites of National Historic Importance **Policy MDC4**: Sites of Regional and Local Importance

Policy MDC7: Archaeology

Mease Special Area of Conservation) from minerals development.

Objective 7: To promote the delivery of measures for environmental, recreational, economic and community gain in mitigation or compensation for the effects of mineral development where possible.

Objective 9: To complement and support wider strategies for the Minerals Development Framework area including green infrastructure projects and strategies such as the National Forest and Charnwood Forest Regional Park.

habitats and features of importance for fauna and flora.

SA Objective 2: To conserve and enhance the quality of the countryside and landscape.

SA Objective 3: To conserve geodiversity.

SA Objective 4: To protect place and buildings of archaeological, cultural and historic value.

SA Objective 5: To recognise, protect and enhance the cultural heritage associated with Leicestershire's mining and to promote the use of local building materials.

SA Objective 8: To avoid soil contamination and safeguard soil quality and quantity.

Indicator	Target	Performance	
Number of applications refused due to adverse amenity or environmental effects.	Monitor only.	Zero, no applications refused due to these reasons.	Contextual
Number of sites where enforcement action taken due to adverse amenity or environmental effects.	Monitor only.	Zero, no enforcement action undertaken due to these reasons.	Contextual
Number of applications refused due to impact on Green Wedges.	Monitor only.	Zero, no applications received in period of this AMR in Green Wedges.	Contextual
Number of applications affecting Sites of Importance by type and the decision taken.	Monitor only.	Zero, no applications determined in period of this AMR.	Contextual
Where planning permission is to be granted affecting Sites of Importance provision of measures in mitigation or compensation.	No adverse effect on designated Sites of Importance – local, regional and national.	Zero, no applications determined in period of this AMR.	No data
Provision of archaeological assessment and adequate safeguarding measures.	In all appropriate cases.	Zero, no applications determined in period of this AMR.	No data



Transport				
Policy MCS16: Transportation of Minerals Policy MDC14: Transportation of Minerals Policy MDC15: Public Rights of Way Policy MDC16: Air Safeguarding		Objective 5: To protect people and local communities and the natural and built environment (particularly the River Mease Special Area of Conservation) from minerals development. Objective 6: To encourage opportunities for sustainable means of transporting minerals other than by road. Objective 7: To promote the delivery of measures for environmental, recreational, economic and community gain in mitigation or compensation for the effects of mineral development where possible.	particular, to reduce the	o reduce the need to travel. In e transportation of minerals by road, vissions.
Indicator	Target	Perfo	rmance	
Number of new sites granted with alternative means of transportation to road.	To improve from current levels over the MDF period.		d in the period of this	
Number of applications refused due to adverse impact on residential or unsuitable roads.	Monitor only.	Zero, no applications refused due to these reasons.		Contextual
Number of applications adversely affecting public rights of way without mitigation or compensation.	Zero.	Zero applications determined which would affect a public rig	ht of way.	No data



Reclamation				
Policy MCS17 : Reclamation and future use of r Policy MDC20 : Restoration and Aftercare Policy MDC21 : After-Use	mineral sites	Objective 5 : To protect people and local communities and the natural and built environment (particularly the River Mease Special Area of Conservation) from minerals development.	N/A.	
		Objective 7 : To promote the delivery of measures for environmental, recreational, economic and community gain in mitigation or compensation for the effects of mineral development where possible.		
		Objective 8 : To ensure land is reclaimed at the earliest opportunity and that high quality restoration and aftercare takes place to an appropriate after-use that enhances and complements the natural environment and that is in keeping with the local area, adding to local distinctiveness and biodiversity.		
		Objective 9: To complement and support wider strategies for the Minerals Development Framework area including green infrastructure projects and strategies such as the National Forest and Charnwood Forest Regional Park.		
Indicator Targ	et	Perfor	mance	
Number of sites where enforcement donit action taken due to unsatisfactory restoration.	or only.	Zero, no enforcement action undertaken due to these reason	ns.	Contextual
Number of new sites with restoration 50%. proposals to priority after-uses.		Zero, no relevant applications determined.		No data



Amenity				
Policy MDC1: Sustainable Design Policy MDC11: The Water Environment Policy MDC12: Health and Amenity Policy MDC13: Cumulative Impact		Objective 5: To protect people and local communities and the natural and built environment (particularly the River Mease Special Area of Conservation) from minerals development. Objective 7: To promote the delivery of measures for environmental, recreational, economic and community gain in mitigation or compensation for the effects of mineral development where possible.	surface waters. SA Objective 9: To limit emissions to air to levels that will not damage natural systems and affect human health. SA Objective 10: To minimise the contribution of minerals development to adverse climate change through reduced	
Indicator	Target	Perfo	mance	ic.
Number of applications refused due to adverse amenity or environmental effects.	Monitor only.	Zero, no applications refused due to these reasons.		Contextual
Number of sites where enforcement action taken due to adverse amenity or environmental effects.	Monitor only.	Zero, no enforcement action undertaken due to these reason	ns.	Contextual
Number of planning permissions granted contrary to Environment Agency advice regarding protection of the water environment.	Zero.	Zero, no permissions granted contrary to this advice.		✓
Number of applications refused due to cumulative impact.	Monitor only.	Zero, no applications refused due to these reasons.		Contextual



Countryside				
Policy MDC5: Countryside Policy MDC6: Landscaping and Woodlar Policy MDC10: Agricultural Land	nd	Objective 5: To protect people and local communities and the natural and built environment (particularly the River Mease Special Area of Conservation) from minerals development. Objective 7: To promote the delivery of measures for environmental, recreational, economic and community gain in mitigation or compensation for the effects of mineral development where possible. Objective 9: To complement and support wider strategies for the Minerals Development Framework area including green infrastructure projects and strategies such as the National Forest and Charnwood Forest Regional Park.		protect and enhance woodland and encourage better use of developed ediation of degraded land. prevent irretrievable loss of the best
Indicator	Target	Perfo	rmance	
Number of applications refused due to impact on Countryside.	Monitor only.	Zero, no applications refused on these grounds.		Contextual
Number of applications refused due to impact on best and most versatile agricultural land.	Monitor only.	Zero, no applications refused on these grounds.		Contextual
Areas of new landscaping and woodland planting.	New landscaping and/or woodland planting with all new permissions, where appropriate.	Zero, no applications determined in period of this AMR.		No data
Percentage of new mineral sites allowed on previously developed land.	Monitor only.	Zero.		Contextual



Development Control				
Policy MDC17: Information in Superpolications Policy MDC18: Planning Conditions Policy MDC19: Planning Obligations	oport of Planning	Objective 5: To protect people and local communities and the natural and built environment (particularly the River Mease Special Area of Conservation) from minerals development. Objective 7: To promote the delivery of measures for environmental, recreational, economic and community gain in mitigation or compensation for the effects of mineral development where possible. Objective 8: To ensure land is reclaimed at the earliest apparaturation and aftersory.	N/A.	
		opportunity and that high quality restoration and aftercare takes place to an appropriate after-use that enhances and complements the natural environment and that is in keeping with the local area, adding to local distinctiveness and biodiversity.		
Indicator	Target	Perfor	mance	
Number of planning applications refused due to insufficient information.	Monitor only.	Zero, no applications refused on these grounds.		Contextual
% of applications determined within NI targets.	100%.	4 mineral related applications determined of which 4 were weeks (100%). 85% in last year's AMR. One Review (ROMPs) which contained an Environmental Statement outside of 16 weeks (0%).	of Mineral Permissions	√
Form of planning conditions.	To monitor effectiveness and review content as necessary.	185 sites (minerals and waste) which required a programmer visits 66% have been monitored in accordance with perform last year's AMR.		
Number of permissions subject to planning obligations and matters covered.	Monitor only.	No permissions covered by legal agreements.		Contextual



Ancillary Mineral Developments				
Policy MDC23: Associated Industrial Development Policy MDC24: Disposal of Mineral Waste Policy MDC25: Reworking of Mineral Waste Policy MDC26: Borrow Pits Policy MDC27: Mineral Exploration Policy MDC28: Incidental Mineral Extraction		Objective 5: To protect people and local communities and the natural and built environment (particularly the River Mease Special Area of Conservation) from minerals development.	N/A.	
Indicator	Target	Perfoi	rmance	
Number of planning permissions granted for developments dealt with by Policies MDC23-28; and the decision taken.	Monitor only.	Zero, no applications received for such developments in peri	iod of this AMR.	Contextual



Monitoring of Waste Policies

Monitoring of Waste Policies Waste Management Provision				
Policy WCS1: Waste Management Capace Policy WCS2: Strategic Waste Sites Policy WCS3: Non Strategic Waste Sites Policy WCS4: Waste Location Principles Policy WCS5: Reuse, Recycling, W. Composting Facilities Policy WCS6: Anaerobic Digest Mechanical-Biological Treatment and Carace Recovery Technologies Policy WCS9: Other Forms of Waste Management Capace Policy WCS4: Waste Location Principles Policy WCS5: Reuse, Recycling, Waste Policy WCS5: Anaerobic Digest Mechanical-Biological Treatment and Carace Recovery Technologies Policy WCS9: Other Forms of Waste Management Policy WCS9: Other Forms of Waste Management Policy WCS1: Non Strategic Waste Sites Policy WCS5: Reuse, Recycling, Waste Policy WCS5: Reuse, Recycling, Waste Policy WCS5: Anaerobic Digest Policy WCS6: Anaerobic Digest	aste Transfer and ion, Incineration, Other Energy/Value	Objective 2: To enable the timely delivery of sufficient waste management facilities in the framework area to meet the waste management capacity apportionment requirement identified by the Regional Waste Strategy to at least 2021. Objective 3: To support the delivery of the Leicestershire Municipal Waste Management Strategy and Leicester's municipal waste management requirements. Objective 4: To encourage waste management facilities which increase re-use, recycling, composting and value/energy recovery, including through the use of new waste management technologies where appropriate, in order to meet or exceed regional targets. Objective 5: To promote use of waste as a resource including optimum use of recycled waste materials as aggregates. Objective 6: To minimise final disposal as a means of managing waste arisings. Objective 7: To provide for a distribution of waste management facilities in the framework area at locations which optimise the use of previously-developed land and reduce the need to transport waste from origin to management destination.	and correct disposal of waste controlled by EC directives. SA Objective 12: To encourage better use of developed land and to prevent irretrievable loss of the best and most versatile agricultural land. SA Objective 16: To promote stable employment and employment diversity in the Framework Area. SA Objective 17: To promote sustainable economic growth in the Framework Area. SA Objective 18: To ensure adequate access to waste facilities appropriate in scale and type to local needs.	
Indicator	Target	Perfo	rmance	
Capacity of new waste management facilities by type	•	Extra capacity, i.e. permitted and operational in year of r 75,000tpa of C&I and C&D waste recycling at Inglet (reported in 13/14 AMR), 200tpa of C&I waste transfer a (2013/1023/07), 35,000m³ of C&D waste disposal at Hinck in 13/14 AMR), 103,000m³ of C&D disposal at Rothley E 13/14 AMR), and disposal of 350,000m³ of C&I and C&D landfill (2015/0044/07). Continuation of existing sites: 30,000tpa of municipal (2014/0040/07).	eberry Road, Shepshed at Marquis Court, Moira ckley Golf Club (reported Borrow Pit (reported in D wastes at New Albion	

New sites which do not add to capacity are Granite Close and Quartz Close, Enderby (2014/0836/01 and 2014/088/01), Unit A, Mill Hill, Granite Close,

Enderby (2014/0958/01), and Cosby Spinneys (2014/0990/01).



Indicator	Target	Performance	
Capacity of new waste management facilities by type	Improve current levels of provision to reach 90% of total regional apportionment by 2010 and 100% by 2015.	Permitted in year of AMR but not yet operational: 35,000tpa of MSW transfer at Linden Way, Coalville (2014/0844/07), 350,000tpa of MSW and C&I waste recovery at Newhurst Quarry, Shepshed (2014/1440/02), 200,000tpa of C&I treatment at Citrus Grove, Kegworth (2014/0946/07), 180,000tpa of C&D recycling at Bardon Quarry (2014/0840/07), 30,000tpa of C&D recycling at Cloud Hill Quarry (2015/0042/07), 25,000tpa of C&D recycling at Ellistown Quarry (2013/0924/07), 40,000tpa of C&D recycling at Lockington Quarry (2014/0072/07), 1,000,000 tonnes of C&D waste disposal at Brooksby Quarry (2014/0190/06 and 2014/0191/06), 75,000m³ of C&D disposal at Ashby Road, Hinckley (permitted by Secretary of State) and 750,000 tonnes of C&D waste disposal at Ellistown Quarry (2013/0924/07).	
Amount of municipal waste arising and managed by management type and the percentage each management type represents of the waste managed.	Improve current recycling and composting levels to reach 80% of Leicestershire Municipal Waste Management Strategy or regional apportionment (as appropriate) by 2010 and 100% by 2015.	Predicted arising and recovery target for municipal waste in 2015 from East Midlands Regional Plan with 5% adjustment for Rutland: Municipal - 633,650 tonnes (incl. Leicester) Landfill Diversion (recovery) target - 72.79% Recycling target (from Municipal Waste Strategy) - 53%. 2014/15 Figures: Arising - 343,822 tonnes (excl. Leicester) Reused, recycled and composted - 47% Landfilled - 28.99% Target of 53% recycling and composting by 2014/15 was met last year (2013/14) but has dropped so that in 2014/15 the target was not met.	



Indicator	Target	Performance	
Amount of other waste streams arising and managed by management type and	Improve current recycling and composting levels to reach 75% of regional apportionments by 2010, 90% by 2015 and 100% by 2020.	Predicted arisings and targets for C&I and C&D for 2015 from East Midlands Regional Plan with 5% adjustment for Rutland:	
the percentage each management type represents of the waste managed.		C&I Arisings – 1,420,250 tonnes	
		C&I Recycling target – 592,800 tonnes	
		C&D Arisings- 2,480,450 tonnes	
		C&D Recycling target – 1,225,500	
		2014/15 C&I and MSW Recycling Capacity:	
		Increased capacity – zero (Ingleberry Road site is a replacement for former operation at Moor Lane, Loughborough; the new site may offer greater capacity but, at present, no such assumption has been made)	
		Net change to capacity = zero	
		Core Strategy (2009) published a shortfall of 89,404tpa (2009/10) for the recycling of C&I and Municipal waste. Loss of capacity of 500tpa reported in the 2013/14 AMR, and the new capacity of 5,562tpa reported as operational in the 2012/13 AMR results in a net increase of 5,062tpa which when added to other operational capacity results in the minimum recycling target for 2014/15 being met and exceeded by 313,174tpa (which can be removed from the landfill requirement); 1,014,341tpa (600,729 + 413,112) minus 700,167tpa. TARGET MET.	
		2014/15 MSW Composting Capacity:	
		Increased capacity – zero	
		Net change to capacity = zero	
		Core Strategy (2009) published a shortfall of 39,858tpa by 2014/15 (137,285 - 97,427) for the composting of municipal waste. Additional capacity of 300tpa was reported in the 2011/12 AMR which with previous new capacity leaves a theoretical shortfall of 15,558tpa.	



Indicator Ta	Farget	Performance	
Amount of other waste streams arising and managed by management type and the percentage each management type represents of the waste managed.	improve current recycling and composting levels to reach 75% of regional apportionments by 2010, 90% by 2015 and 100% by 2020.	Performance 2014/15 MSW Recovery Capacity: Increased capacity – zero Net change to capacity = zero Core Strategy (2009) published a shortfall of 102,138tpa by 2014/15 for the recovery of municipal waste. Additional capacity of 50,000tpa reported in the 2010/11 AMR leaves a theoretical shortfall of 52,138tpa. C&I Recovery Capacity: Increased capacity – zero Net change to capacity = zero No targets for C&I recovery set in Core Strategy (2009). By providing C&I recovery capacity the landfill target can be reduced by the recovery capacity provided; 25,500tpa reported as operational in 13/14 AMR. 2014/15 Non Inert Landfill Capacity Increased capacity – 350,000 tonnes (total over 18 months) Net change to capacity = 350,000 tonnes (total over 18 months) Core Strategy (2009) published a shortfall of 540,000tpa by 2014/15 (1,000,000 - 460,000) for the landfilling of non-inert waste. By providing C&I recycling capacity above the minimum requirement the landfill target can be reduced by the 313,174tpa stated above and the new C&I recovery). The new landfill capacity is a continuation of an existing site beyond 31/12/14 and as such does not affect	





Indicator	Target	Performance	
	sites in Broad Locations. 80% of non-strategic sites in areas listed (except landfills,	Close). Newhurst Quarry is a strategic site and is in the Broad Locations, therefore, 100% in right location. Remaining nine sites classified as non-strategic and of these, five are in the urban areas listed (Bardon Quarry, Granite Way (x2), Linden Way, Quartz Close) and one is within or adjacent to an existing waste site	
Proportion of new sites, excluding landfill, developed on greenfield and brownfield sites.	Less than 10% on greenfield and 90% on brownfield.	46% of new sites on brownfield locations (5 of 13). Greenfield sites are Bardon Quarry, Cloud Hill Quarry, Cosby Spinneys, Linden Way, Lockington Quarry, Lount, and Newhurst Quarry. 75% in last year's AMR.	



Landfill				
Policy CS7: Non-Inert Waste Landfill Policy CS8: Inert Waste Landfill			To minimise quantities of waste imise re-use, recovery and recycling of	
Indicator	Target	Performance Performance		
Number and annual throughput of new non-inert landfill sites.	Throughput not to exceed any prevailing shortfall needed for the disposal of residual waste.	One application determined in period of this AMR at New Albion landfil (2015/0044/07). Throughput remains below identified shortfall.		
Number and capacity of inert landfill sites.	Capacity not to exceed regional apportionments for re-use and landfill.	Regional apportionment for 2015 is 1,255,500tpa (1,322,000tpa incl. Rutland) for re-use and disposal. Ashby Road, Hinckley – 112,500tpa (total) (not operational) Brooksby – 200,000tpa (not operational) Cotesbach* – 103,000tpa Ellistown Quarry – 125,000tpa (not operational) Hinckley Golf Club – 52,500tpa Huncote* – 115,000tpa Husbands Bosworth* – 97,000tpa Lockington* – 258,000tpa New Albion* – 37,000tpa Rothley Borrow Pit – 154,000tpa (total) Shepshed Brickworks (not operational) – 61,500tpa Slip Inn* – 188,000tpa Total – 1,503,500tpa *from 2014 EA returns data. Capacity exceeds regional apportionment. Operational capacity is a 1,004,500tpa.		



Historic and Natural Environment					
Policy CS10: Environmental Protection Policy CS11: National Forest Policy CS12: Charnwood Forest Policy DC2: Sites of International and National Importance Policy DC3: Sites of Regional and Local Importance		and built environment from unacceptable effects of waste management development. species, avoiding damage importance for fauna and fl			
				conserve and enhance the quality of the e.	
Policy DC4: Archaeology		of waste related development where appropriate. cultural and historic value.		otect place and buildings of archaeological, . void soil contamination and safeguard soil	
		including green infrastructure projects and strategies such as the National Forest and Charnwood Forest Regional Park.	SA Objective 8: To minimise public nuisance from waste treatment and disposal.		
			SA Objective 9: To maximise the benefits to human health and well-being.		
			SA Objective 10: To irreversibly sterilise mineral	ensure waste development does not reserves.	
			SA Objective 19: To conserve geodiversity.		
Indicator	Target	Performance			
Number of planning applications affecting sites or areas of importance and decision taken.	Monitor only.	Application at Linden Way, Coalville which would affect National Forest through the loss of trees and application at Newhurst Quarry which would affect Charnwood Forest, National Forest, Listed Buildings and Park and Regionally Important Geological Site. Both applications permitted.		Contextual	
Where planning permission is to be granted affecting sites of importance provision of measures in mitigation or compensation.	In all cases.	100%. Linden Way, Coalville permission includes provision for replacement planting off-site, and Newhurst Quarry permission contains provision to partially restore Garendon Park and new woodland planting.		√	
Where planning permission granted in National Forest area type and scale of landscape created.	In all cases where appropriate.	Seven permissions in National Forest (Bardon Quarry, Ellistown Quarry, Linden Way, Lount Composting, Marquis Court, New Albion Landfill, and Newhurst Quarry). Ellistown Quarry (2013/0924/07) restoration scheme includes the following habitats: species rich grassland, wetland areas, and woodland. New Albion Landfill (2015/0044/07) restoration scheme includes the following habitats: grassland, lake and woodland. Newhurst Quarry (2014/1440/02) restoration scheme includes the following habitats: grassland/heathland mosaic, and woodland. Linden Way, Coalville permission includes provision for replacement planting off-site. Lount Composting permission includes provision for restoration following the cessation of its use. Not deemed appropriate for any landscape creation for the other permissions.		✓	
Provision of archaeological assessment and adequate safeguarding measures.	In all cases when archaeological interest affected.	100%. No applications which would affect archaeological remains.		✓	



Countryside				
Policy CS13: Green Wedges Policy DC5: Countryside Policy DC6: Agricultural Land Policy DC7: Landscaping and Woodland		Objective 8: To protect local communities and the natural and built environment from unacceptable effects of waste management development. Objective 10: To promote the delivery of measures for environmental, recreational, economic and community gain in mitigation or compensation for any adverse effects of waste related development where appropriate. Objective 11: To complement and support wider strategies for the Waste Development Framework area including green infrastructure projects and strategies such as the National Forest and Charnwood Forest Regional Park.	er ea h	
Indicator	Target	Performance		
Number of planning applications on green field sites in Green Wedge and Countryside locations and decision taken.	Monitor only.	Ten applications on greenfield sites in the countryside, none in Green Wedges - at Bardon Quarry (C&D recycling), Brooksby Quarry (landfill), Cloud Hill Quarry (C&D recycling), Cosby Spinneys (composting), Ellistown Quarry (landfill), Linden Way, Coalville (transfer), Lockington Quarry (C&D recycling), Lount (composting), New Albion (landfill), and Newhurst Quarry (recovery). All applications permitted.		Contextual
Number of planning applications on best and most versatile agricultural land and decision taken.	Monitor only.	Zero, no relevant applications determined in period of this AMR.		Contextual
Scale and type of new landscaping and woodland planting.	In all cases where appropriate.	Brooksby Quarry (2014/0190/06) restoration scheme includes provision for new hedgerows, wet grassland, water areas, and woodland. Permission at Citrus Grove, Kegworth includes provision for new landscaping (2014/0946/07). Ellistown Quarry (2013/0924/07) restoration scheme includes the following habitats: species rich grassland, wetland areas, and woodland. New Albion Landfill (2015/0044/07) restoration scheme includes the following habitats: grassland, lake and woodland. Newhurst Quarry (2014/1440/02) landscape scheme includes the following habitats: grassland/heathland mosaic, and woodland. Linden Way, Coalville permission includes provision for replacement planting off-site. Not deemed appropriate for any landscape creation for the other permissions.		



Resource Management			
Policy DC1: Design		Objective 1: To promote the implementation of waste minimisation initiatives.	SA Objective 4: To protect the quality of ground and surface waters.
			SA Objective 6: To limit emissions to air to levels that will not damage natural systems and affect human health.
			SA Objective 7: To minimise the contribution of waste development to adverse climate change through reduced greenhouse gas emissions.
			SA Objective 8: To minimise public nuisance from waste treatment and disposal.
			SA Objective 9: To maximise the benefits to human health and well-being.
			SA Objective 14: To reduce the need to travel, in particular to reduce the transportation of untreated waste by road, and thereby vehicle emissions, in line with the proximity principle.
			SA Objective 15: To increase energy efficiency and the production of renewable energy SA Objective 20: To avoid or reduce flood risk as a result of waste development.
Indicator	Target	Perfor	rmance
Number of schemes incorporating sustainable design principles.	75% of applications with permanent buildings.		
Number of new sites permitted with renewable energy.	To increase from current levels.	Newhurst Quarry permitted with provision for renewable energy. Waste site at Bosworth Marina installed solar panels and is producing renewable energy and site at Green's Lodge Farm is operational and producing renewable energy. Permissions not operational during the period of this AMR at Sutton Lodge Farm includes provision to provide renewable energy.	



Amenity				
Policy CS14: Transportation of Waste Policy DC8: Health and Amenity Policy DC9: Cumulative Impact Policy DC10: Transportation of Waste Policy DC11: Rights of Way Policy DC12: Water Environment Policy DC13: Air Safeguarding		and built environment from unacceptable effects of waste management development. Objective 9: To encourage opportunities for means of transporting waste other than by road. Objective 10: To promote the delivery of measures for environmental, recreational, economic and community gain in mitigation or compensation for any adverse effects of waste related development where appropriate. SA Objective 6: To limit emiss not damage natural systems at development to adverse climate greenhouse gas emissions. SA Objective 7: To minimise treatment and disposal. SA Objective 8: To minimise treatment and disposal. SA Objective 9: To maximise and well-being. SA Objective 14: To reduparticular to reduce the trans by road, and thereby vehicle proximity principle.		inimise the contribution of waste climate change through reduced
Indicator	Target	Perfo	mance	
Number of planning applications permitted with unacceptable amenity or environmental effects.	Zero.	Zero.		✓
Enforcement action taken on grounds of adverse amenity or environmental effects.	Monitor only. Number of substantiated complaints on permitted waste sites to be less than 2005 baseline.	2005 baseline is 24 substantiated complaints on waste substantiated complaints received.	sites. 2014/15 - 3	Contextual
Number of new sites granted with alternative means of transportation to road.	Monitor only.	Fifteen new waste sites granted permission (Bardon Quarry, Brooksby Quarry, Citrus Grove, Cloud Hill Quarry, Cosby Spinneys, Ellistown Quarry, Granite Close (x2), Lount, Linden Way, Lockington Quarry, Marquis Court, New Albion, Newhurst Quarry, and Quartz Close); none with the potential for an alternative means of transport.		Contextual
Number of planning applications where waste traffic could affect residential or unsuitable roads without routeing agreement.	Zero.	Zero.		✓



'Amenity' table continued.

Indicator	Target	Performance	
Number of sites approved with new public rights of way created and length of new rights of way.		100%. Ellistown Quarry and New Albion Landfill restoration schemes contain provision for new bridleway.	✓
Number of new sites refused in line with Environment Agency advice regarding protection of the water environment.		No sites permitted contrary to advice.	√
Number of new sites refused in line with advice regarding air safeguarding.	100%.	No sites permitted contrary to advice.	√



Development Control			
Policy DC14: Information in Support of Planning Applications Policy DC15: Reclamation and Aftercare Policy DC16: After-Use Policy DC17: Planning Conditions Policy DC18: Planning Obligations		Objective 8: To protect local communities and the natural and built environment from unacceptable effects of waste management development. Objective 10: To promote the delivery of measures for environmental, recreational, economic and community gain in mitigation or compensation for any adverse effects of waste related development where appropriate. Objective 11: To complement and support wider strategies for the Waste Development Framework area including green infrastructure projects and strategies such as the National Forest and Charnwood Forest Regional Park.	
Indicator	Target	Performance	
Number of planning applications refused due to insufficient information.	Monitor only.	No applications refused on this ground.	Contextual
Number of planning applications determined within National Indicator targets.	100%	39 waste applications determined of which 36 were within 13 weeks (92%). 79% in last year's AMR. Five applications with Environmental Statements were determined of which all were determined outside of the 16 week statutory period.	
Number of new sites restored with aftercare when completed.	100%	100%. Three new landfills permitted. Brooksby Quarry, Ellistown Quarry, and New Albion Landfill permissions contain provision for aftercare. 100% in last year's AMR.	√
Area and type of new habitat created on restored waste sites.	All restored sites to contribute to habitat creation.	100%. Brooksby Quarry, Ellistown Quarry, and New Albion Landfill would all create grassland, water areas and woodland.	✓
Number of new sites with reclamation proposals to priority after-uses.	50%.	100%. See above.	✓
Form of planning conditions.	To monitor effectiveness and review content as necessary.	185 sites (minerals and waste) which required a programme of visits. Of these visits 66% have been monitored in accordance with performance targets. 75% in last year's AMR.	
Number of permissions subject to planning obligations.	Monitor only.	Two permissions granted subject to such an obligation, Ellistown Quarry (2013/0924/07) and Newhurst Quarry permission (2014/1440/02) include Section 106s.	



Monitoring Outcomes

- 4.6 Policy monitoring highlighted one indicator in which there was no movement towards the target the proportion of new mineral sites permitted with alternatives to road transport. This is because no new areas for mineral extraction were determined in the period of this AMR.
- 4.7 Seven indicators have been identified in which the target had not been met and performance moved away from the target: the form of planning conditions for minerals and waste; the amount of municipal waste arising and managed by management type and the percentage each management type represents of the waste managed; the number of strategic and non strategic sites developed by type within Broad Locations and Broad Locations, main urban areas and within or adjacent to existing waste sites, respectively; the proportion of new [waste] sites, excluding landfill, developed on greenfield and brownfield sites; the number and capacity of inert landfill sites; and the number of waste schemes incorporating sustainable design principles.
- 4.8 The indicator relating to the form of planning conditions has been used to report on the efficacy of the Planning Group in monitoring waste and mineral sites. In this AMR the percentage of sites which received a scheduled visit declined again, this was a result of reduced resources being available to undertake monitoring.
- 4.9 The remainder of the missed targets with movement away from the target relate to waste. The quantity of municipal waste recycled was 47% in 2014/15, a drop from the 53% recycled in 2013/14. No loss of municipal waste recycling capacity is recorded in this AMR and, therefore, the drop would appear to be result of participation in recycling rather than a lack of capacity. The location of new waste sites target has been missed because Citrus Grove, Cloud Hill Quarry and Marquis Court were all located in more rural areas. However, in all cases a new waste operation was permitted which would operate in conjunction with a non-waste activity thereby justifying its location. The other locational target missed is that relating to the use of land and more greenfield land facilities were permitted than brownfield which is dominated by composting and C&D recycling operations at active quarries.
- 4.10 The permitted capacity of inert landfills has exceeded the regional apportionment which has resulted in the target being missed. Yet, the operational capacity is below the apportionment and this fluctuates annually as the small scale disposal projects start and end. Sustainable design in new waste buildings was missed as it has been in most year's AMR.
- 4.11 Beyond those targets set out above a further four indicators missed their target but the movement was towards the target (mainly waste related). For waste, in part, the failure relates to missing the target for C&D (Construction & Demolition) waste recycling. There have been insufficient



applications received for the recycling of C&D (inert) wastes to meet the 2009/10 C&D target shortfall. Similarly shortfalls are evident for inert and non-inert waste disposal. However, in all these cases the monitoring relates back to the predicted arisings and targets of the East Midlands Regional Plan which the Waste Needs Assessment December 2015 seeks to update. In general, the Regional Plan figures are too high.

- 4.12 The production of aggregates is monitored through sales which are below the annual sub regional apportionments. The data used are those from last year's AMR and although not at apportionment levels do not present a problem.
- 4.13 The percentage of waste applications determined within 13 weeks has increased from that achieved in 2013/14 but not achieved the 100% sought. All other targets were met (18), were contextual in that no absolute targets were set or no data were available for that target.

Co-operation

4.14 The duty to co-operate was created in the Localism Act 2011, and placed a legal duty on local planning authorities, county councils in England and public bodies to engage constructively, actively and on an ongoing basis to maximise the effectiveness of Local Plan preparation relating to strategic cross boundary matters. Minerals and waste are both strategic matters. The Town & Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 requires annual monitoring reports to give details of what action a local planning authority has taken to co-operate with another local planning authority, county council, or a body or person prescribed under Section 33A of the Act. Set out below are those authorities Leicestershire County Council has co-operated with, when this took place and what form the co-operation took.

Responses provided by Leicestershire County Council

- Leicester City Council, 27th January 2015, written response by Leicestershire County Council to correspondence received on their local plan.
- Lincolnshire County Council, 13^h August 2014, written response by Leicestershire County Council to correspondence received on minerals safeguarding.
- Lincolnshire County Council, 17^h November 2014, written response by Leicestershire County Council to correspondence received on waste movements.
- Lincolnshire County Council, 13^h February 2015, written response by Leicestershire County Council to correspondence received on their minerals and waste local plan.



- North Tyneside Council, 9th September 2014, written response by Leicestershire County Council to correspondence received on waste movements.
- Northamptonshire County Council, 28^h January 2015, written response by Leicestershire County Council to correspondence received on draft site assessment methodology.
- Oxfordshire County Council, 12^h November 2014, written response by Leicestershire County Council to correspondence received on their Local Aggregate Assessment.
- Rutland County Council, 9th January 2015, written response by Leicestershire County Council to correspondence received on their Local Aggregate Assessment.
- Somerset County Council, 3rd September 2014, written response by Leicestershire County Council to correspondence received on minerals.
- Staffordshire County Council, 19th September 2014, written response by Leicestershire County Council to correspondence received on their minerals local plan.
- Suffolk County Council, 17th October 2014, written response by Leicestershire County Council to correspondence received on their Local Aggregate Assessment.
- Thurrock Council, 19th February 2015, written response by Leicestershire County Council to correspondence received on waste movements.

Consultation undertaken by Leicestershire County Council

- Correspondence sent to Anglian Water and Severn Trent Water on 6th October 2014 regarding the safeguarding of sewage treatment works in Leicestershire in the Minerals and Waste Local Plan.
- Correspondence sent to the following planning authorities on 15th and 28th October 2014 regarding waste movements: Bexley London Borough Council; Birmingham City Council; Bristol City Council; Cambridgeshire County Council; Cheshire West and Chester Council; Coventry City Council; Derby City Council; Derbyshire County Council; Dudley Metropolitan Borough Council; Essex County Council; Kent County Council; Knowsley Council; Lancashire County Leicester City Council; Liverpool Citv Middlesbrough Council; North Lincolnshire Council; Nottingham City Council; Nottinghamshire County Council; Peterborough City Council; Reading Borough Council; Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council; Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council; Rutland County Council; Sandwell Council; Sheffield City Council; Stoke-on-Trent City Council; Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council; Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council; Warwickshire County Council; Wolverhampton City Council; Worcestershire County Council.
- Correspondence sent to the following bodies on 16th December 2014 regarding draft policies and matters relating to the next published



- version of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan: English Heritage; Environment Agency; Leicester and Leicestershire Enterprise Partnership; Leicester City Council; and Natural England.
- Correspondence sent to Highway Authority on 24th February 2015 regarding a site put to Leicestershire County Council for allocation in the Minerals and Waste Local Plan.
- Correspondence sent to Natural England on 24th February 2015 regarding two sites put to Leicestershire County Council for allocation in the Minerals and Waste Local Plan.

Responses received by Leicestershire County Council

- Bexley London Borough Council, 26th November 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- Buckinghamshire County Council, 3rd November 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- Derbyshire County Council, 18th December 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- Dudley Metropolitan Borough Council, 23rd October 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- English Heritage, 18th December 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence dated 16th December 2014.
- Environment Agency, 13th January 2015, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence dated 16th December 2014.
- Essex County Council, 16th October 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- Highway Authority, 3rd March 2015, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence dated 24th February 2015.
- Kent County Council, 16th October 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- Liverpool City Council, 1st December 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- Middlesbrough Council, 16th October 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- Natural England, 8th January 2015 and 19th March 2015, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence dated 16th December 2014 and 24th February 2015.



- Nottingham City Council, 22nd October 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- Nottinghamshire County Council, 15th and 23rd October 2014, written responses to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council, 21st November 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council, 24th October 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- Rutland County Council, 1st November 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- Severn Trent Water, 6th October 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on safeguarding of waste facilities.
- Sheffield City Council, 20th October 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- Stoke on Trent City Council, 20th October 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council, 20th December 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- Wolverhampton City Council, 20th October 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.
- Worcestershire County Council, 20th October 2014, written response to Leicestershire County Council's correspondence on waste movements.



5.0 Conclusion

- 5.1 To conclude, this AMR explains that the County Council is progressing its review of the Minerals and Waste Core Strategies.
- 5.2 Where targets have been set (i.e. are not contextual) and data are present, then for waste policies, 14 targets were met, 3 targets were missed but there was movement towards the target and 6 targets were missed and the movement was away from the target. For mineral policies 4 targets were met, 1 target was missed and the movement was towards the target, 1 target was missed and the movement was away from the target, and 1 target where there was no movement. For all other minerals and waste targets there was either no data or they were contextual. Overall, the AMR has indicated no great causes for concern in the performance of the waste and minerals policies.
- 5.3 The County Council has co-operated with seven other Councils in the production of their local plans through the provision of information relating to waste and mineral movements, as well as four Councils on Local Plan production. And, the County Council has consulted with a number of Councils and 'relevant bodies' regarding waste movements and land put forward for consideration for sand and gravel extraction.



Appendix 1: List of mineral planning applications for increased production/capacity determined between 1 $^{\rm st}$ April 2014 and 31 $^{\rm st}$ March 2015

No applications determined between these dates.



Appendix 2: List of waste related planning applications for increased capacity/new sites determined between 1st April 2014 and 31st March 2015

County's Waste Applications

2013/0924/07

Ellistown Quarry

Importation of suitable inert fill to achieve a beneficial restoration.

2013/1023/07

Marquis Court, Moira

Change of use application to establish a small scale waste transfer and bulking up facility.

2014/0836/01

Granite Close, Enderby

Retrospective change of use application to allow the baling and storage of pre-sorted waste, the storage of skips and containers and the parking of vehicles.

2014/0838/01

Quartz Close, Enderby

Retrospective change of use application to allow the storage of skips and containers and parking of vehicles in association with the Bakers Waste Services waste facility at Granite Close, Enderby.



2014/0958/01

Granite Close, Enderby

Retrospective Application for Change of Use to Waste Transfer, Recycling and Storage at the former Vehicle Maintenance Depot, Silk's Yard.

2014/0990/01

Cosby Spinneys Farm

Proposed increase to concrete surface area of existing green waste compost site.

2014/0072/02

Lockington Quarry

Change of use to land at Lockington Quarry so as to allow extension of the consented inert waste materials recycling operations.

2014/1440/02

Newhurst Quarry

Section 73 application to vary Condition 2 of Planning Permission APP/M2460/A/11/2150748.

2014/0190/06

Brooksby Quarry

Application for the importation of inert fill for restoration purposes.



2014/0040/07

Lount Composting Site

Variation of condition 1 of permission ref. 2010/1101/07 to permit an extension to the operational life of the composting facility until 1st September 2024.

2014/0839/07 - refused

New Albion Landfill

Extension of the period for the importation of waste and restoration of the site to 31st December 2024 and submission of a revised restoration scheme, New Albion Landfill Site, Occupation Road, Albert Village, Swadlincote, Derbyshire DE11 8HD.

2014/0840/07

Bardon Quarry

Importation, processing, reuse and storage of reclaimed bituminous products for the use in the asphalt plants situated at Bardon Hill Quarry.

2014/0844/07

Linden Way, Coalville

Development of a residual waste transfer station building and parking area for waste collection vehicles.

Appendix 2: Waste Planning Applications



2014/0946/07

Citrus Grove, Kegworth

Installation of waste water treatment plant.

2015/0042/07

Cloud Hill Quarry

Importation and processing of waste asphalt and road planings, together with the erection of a covered storage bay.

2015/0044/07

New Albion Landfill

Variation of Condition 7 of Planning Permission 98/0569/7 to extend the period for importing waste to 31st December 2016.



Appendix 3: Throughputs and status of permitted waste facilities in Leicestershire

Table 3: Operational Capacity of Local Authority Collected Waste Composting, Recovery, Recycling and Transfer Operations

Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source*	Temporary Permission
Composting Operation	S			
Beech Tree Farm, Sproxton	Land Network	5701.24	EA Returns	No
Cosby Spinneys, Cosby	D H Pepper	3371.65	EA Returns	No
Crowthorne Farm, Scalford	K & S M Sellars	5000	Estimate	No
Glebe Farm, Sibson	Caton Recycling	2831.87	EA Returns	No
Kibworth	SITA	15805.84	EA Returns	No
Lount	SITA	30481.1	EA Returns	Yes, until 01/09/2020 (pp 2014/0040/07)
Manor Farm, Aston Flamville	J & F Powner	18994.22	EA Returns	No
Soars Lodge Farm, Foston	D. Clark	16257.02	EA Returns	No
	TOTAL CAPACITY	98,442.94		
RHWS and Transfer Op	perations			
Barwell RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	8193.75	EA Returns	No
Bottesford RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	1671.75	EA Returns	No
Coalville RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	9356.65	EA Returns	No



Table 3 continued

Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source*	Temporary Permission
Coalville Transfer Station	North West Leicestershire Council	9630.36	EA Returns	No
Hinckley Transfer Station	Hinckley & Bosworth Council	2542.065	EA Returns	No
Kibworth RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	3991.4	EA Returns	No
Loughborough RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	9997.57	EA Returns	No
Lount RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	4982.07	EA Returns	No
Lutterworth RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	3734.45	EA Returns	No
Market Harborough RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	4629.39	EA Returns	No
Melton Mowbray RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	5792.89	EA Returns	No
Melton Transfer Station	Melton Council	6745.11	EA Returns	No
Mountsorrel RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	8215.56	EA Returns	No
Oadby RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	8556.57	EA Returns	No
Oadby Transfer Station	Oadby & Wigston Council	4366.999	EA Returns	No
Shepshed RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	5865.69	EA Returns	No



Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source*	Temporary Permission
Somerby RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	1290.03	EA Returns	No
Syston High Street	Biffa	96026.7	EA Returns	No
Welham Lane, Great Bowden	FOCSA	9500	2010/0986/03	No
Whetstone RHWS and Transfer	Leicestershire County Council	62801.62	EA Returns	No
	TOTAL CAPACITY	268,704.04		
Recovery Operations				
Cotesbach MBT (Shawell Quarry)	New Earth Solutions	50009.50	EA Returns	Yes, until 31 st December 2044 (pp 2008/0789/03 and 2006/1565/03)
Wanlip AD	Biffa	52214.71	EA Returns	No
	TOTAL CAPACITY	102,224.21		

^{*} Where the source is stated as EA Returns this represents the maximum tonnes of waste classified as household, industrial & commercial (HIC) the site has handled between 2006 and 2014 as reported in the Environment Agency's Waste Data Interrogator.





Table 4: Capacity of Permitted Local Authority Collected Waste Transfer Operations

Site	Operator	Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source	Temporary Permission
Transfer Operations				
Coalville Transfer Station	North West Leicestershire Council	35000	2014/0844/07	No
	TOTAL THROUGHPUT	35,000		



Table 5: Operational Capacity of C&I (Commercial and Industrial) Waste Composting, Disposal (not landfill), Recovery, Recycling and Transfer Operations

Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source*	Temporary Permission
Composting Operations				
County Hall, Glenfield	Leicestershire County Council	12	Internal Information	No
Loughborough University	Imago Services	35	MHW Magazine	No
Twycross Zoo	Twycross Zoo	850	Hotrot Website	No
	TOTAL CAPACITY	897		
Disposal Operations				
Stubble Hill Farm	Kings Hill Cremations	182.5	2004/0121/04	No
	TOTAL CAPACITY	182.5		
Recovery Operations				
Greens Lodge Farm, Huncote	A C Shropshire	37682	EA Returns	No
	TOTAL CAPACITY	37,682		
Recycling Operations				
Barrow Street, Loughborough	T R Metals	Unknown		No
Barrows Lane, Glenfield	Glenfield Autospares	250	EA Returns	No
Bishop Meadow Road, Lboro	East Midlands Metals	Unknown		No



Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source*	Temporary Permission
Bottleacre Lane, Loughborough	R & Z Autos	451.78	EA Returns	No
Brindley Road, Hinckley	Hinckley Scrap Metals	Unknown		No
Brook Street, Sileby	E W Middletons	176.7	EA Returns	No
Brooks Lane, Whitwick	Toon and daughters	644.614	EA Returns	No
Bruntingthorpe Airfield	C. Walton	2000	2012/0091/03	No
Cossington Road, Sileby	Complete Wasters	Unknown		No
East Midlands Airport	EMA	724.998	EA Returns	No
Enderby Road, Whetstone	Wastecycle	18088	EA Returns	No
Gilmorton Lodge Farm	R S Properties	1424.68	EA Returns	No
Granite Close Smith, Enderby	Bakers Waste	18216.25	EA Returns	No
Granite Close Unit A, Enderby	1 st Choice Skips	5687	EA Returns	No
Harrison Close Car Breakers	Mr Roe	6075	EA Returns	No
Harrison Close LSPS	LSPS	2345.22	EA Returns	No
Hill Top Farm, Melton Mowbray	Charles Brown & Son	737	EA Returns	No
Ingleberry Road, Shepshed	A.E. Burgess	23451.36	Estimate from EA Returns (TBD Morris Site)	No
Jacknell Road, Hinckley	Labwaste	599.318	EA Returns	No



Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source*	Temporary Permission
Knights Close, Thurmaston	Silverdell	199.318	EA Returns	No
Knossington Road, Somerby	G C Stevens	775.811	EA Returns	No
Lazarus Court, Rothley	Rock Hall	Unknown		No
Lynden Lea, Hinckley	Taylors Skip Hire	13435	EA Returns	No
Main Street, Normanton	Hillcrest	10000	Estimate	No
Marquis Court, Moira	1 st Class Hygiene	200	2013/1023/07	No
Pebble Hall Farm, Theddingworth	J M Clarke	None – Access only in Leics, site is in Northants	N/A	No
Seine Lane, Enderby	Enderby Metals	3922.707	EA Returns	No
Seine Lane, Enderby	Dave Lount Cars	126	EA Returns	No
Sketchley Meadows, Hinckley	B & R Metals	Unknown		No
Snibston Drive, Coalville	Biffa	21942.58	EA Returns	No
South Ind Est, Ellistown	Russells Auto Salvage	296	EA Returns	No
South Ind Est, Ellistown	Direct Car Spares	372.55	EA Returns	No
Station Road, Market Bosworth	Flying Spares	42.5	EA Returns	No
Station Yard, Elmesthorpe	Barrie Mills Motor Salvage	124.95	EA Returns	No
The Scotlands, Coalville	Vellam Metals	250	2009/1116/07	No
Thorpe Road, Melton Mowbray	Melton Waste Recyclers	62	2012/01/06	No



Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source*	Temporary Permission
Trent Lane, Castle Donington	Veolia	17620.26	EA Returns	No
Walker Road, Bardon	Air Products	Unknown		No
Wanlip Plant Site, A46, Syston	Mr Winterton	109.68	EA Returns	No
Warren Parks Way, Enderby	Casepak	145,000	Operator	No
Watling Street - Augean	Greenway Environmental (formerly Augean)	6944.694	EA Returns	No
Watling Street - Veolia	Veolia	None		No
Watling Street, Red Lion Farm (Smockington)	Williams Recycling	2538.9	EA Returns	No
Weldon Road, Loughborough	J & A Young	82410.25	EA Returns	No
Wolds Farm, Ragdale	Hull & Sons	10000	2007/1043/06	No
Wymeswold Airfield Acorn	Acorn Recycling	9000	2010/2014/02	No
Wymeswold Airfield De- Pack	De-Pack	2034.458	EA Returns	No
	TOTAL CAPACITY	408,279.898		
Reuse Operations				
Half Croft, Syston	Intercare	12.98	EA Returns	No
Northfield House Farm	Mr Hopkins	2000	Operator	No
	TOTAL CAPACITY	2,012.98		



Site Transfer Operations	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source*	Temporary Permission	
High Street, Syston	Biffa	96026.7	EA Returns	No	
riigii Street, Syston	Dilla	(also includes LACW)	LA Returns	140	
Logix Park, Hinckley	Eurokey	60004.012	EA Returns	No	
Pinfold Road,	Cannon Hygiono	866.445	EA Doturno	No	
Thurmaston	Cannon Hygiene	600.445	EA Returns	No	
	TOTAL CAPACITY 156,897.157				

^{*} Where the source is stated as EA Returns this represents the maximum tonnes of waste classified as household, industrial & commercial (HIC) the site has handled between 2006 and 2014 as reported in the Environment Agency's Waste Data Interrogator.



Table 6: Capacity of 'Dormant' C&I (Commercial and Industrial) Operations

Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source*	Temporary Permission
Recycling Operations				
Manor Farm, Aston Flamville	Mrs Powner	2500	2009/0487/01	No
	TOTAL CAPACITY	2,500		

^{*} Where the source is stated as EA Returns this represents the maximum tonnes of waste classified as household, industrial & commercial (HIC) the site has handled between 2006 and 2014 as reported in the Environment Agency's Waste Data Interrogator.



Table 7: Capacity of Permitted C&I (Commercial and Industrial) Recovery, Recycling and Transfer Operations

Site	Operator	Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source	Temporary Permission
Recovery Operations				
Newhurst Quarry	Biffa	350000	2014/1440/02	No
Sutton Lodge Farm	Mr Lovatt	35000	2009/1488/03	No
	TOTAL THROUGHPUT	385,000		
Recycling Operations				
Coventry Road, Narborough	Glenfield Waste	75000	2007/0985/01	No
Wymeswold Airfield Acorn	Acorn	14000	2010/2014/02	No
	TOTAL THROUGHPUT	89,000		
Transfer Operations				
Quartz Close, Enderby	Eurokey	30000	2010/0978/01	No
	TOTAL THROUGHPUT	30,000		



Table 8: Operational Capacity of Non Inert, Non Hazardous Landfill Operations

Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source*	Temporary Permission
Landfill Operations				
New Albion	Veolia	259160.36	EA Returns	Yes, until 31 st July 2016 (pp 2015/0044/07)
Shawell Quarry	Lafarge-Tarmac	268505.01	EA Returns	Yes until 31 st December 2044 (pp 2006/1565/03)
	TOTAL THROUGHPUT	527,665.37		·

^{*} Where the source is stated as EA Returns this represents the maximum tonnes of waste classified as household, industrial & commercial (HIC) the site has handled between 2006 and 2014 as reported in the Environment Agency's Waste Data Interrogator.



Table 9: Operational Capacity of C&D (inert) Waste Recycling and Transfer Operations

Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source [^]	Temporary Permission
Recycling Operations				
Ellistown Concrete	FP McCanns	Unknown		Yes, until 21 st February 2042 (pp. 1999/0306/07)
Ellistown Quarry	Mick George	25000	2014/0590/07	Yes, until 21 st February 2042 (pp. 2014/0590/07)
Enderby Road, Whetstone	Wastecycle	39714	EA Returns	No
Gilmorton Lodge Farm	BASH Skips	447.08	EA Returns	No
Glebe Farm, Sibson	Caton Recycling	5132.32	EA Returns	No
Granite Close, Ellingworth	Planters	8829.58	EA Returns	No
Granite Close Smith, Enderby	Mr Smith	27610.4	EA Returns	No
Granite Close Unit A, Enderby	1st Choice Skips	7049	EA Returns	No
Granite Close West, Enderby	Bakers Waste	26537.84	EA Returns	No
Granite Way, Mountsorrel	NH Skips	53155	EA Returns	No



Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source [^]	Temporary Permission
Groby Quarry	MQP	50000	2010/0250/04	Yes, until 31 st December 2038 (pp 1995/1807/02 and 1995/0552/04)
Harrison Close, LSPS	LSPS	567.67	EA Returns	No
Huncote Quarry	Acresford Sand & Gravel	5000	2010/0405/01	Yes, until 31 st December 2020 (pp. 2011/0756/01)
Ingleberry Road, Shepshed	A.E. Burgess	19650.09	Estimate from EA Returns (TBD Morris Site)	No
Lockington Quarry	Lafarge	4132	EA Returns	Yes, until 23 rd November 2025 (pp 2007/1361/07)
Lynden Lea, Hinckley	Taylors Skip Hire	22188	EA Returns	No
Mountsorrel Quarry	Lafarge	50000	Operator	No
Orston Lane, Bottesford	Midland Skip Hire	29597	EA Returns	No
Shawell Quarry	Lafarge	40000	1999/0476/03	Yes, until 31 st December 2044 (pp. 1999/0476/03)
Wanlip Plant Site, A46, Syston	Mr Winterton	5763.723	EA Returns	No
Wood Road, Ellistown	J P & P Bailey	10000	2012/0478/04	No
	TOTAL THROUGHPUT	430,373.213		
Transfer Operations				
Brooks Lane, Whitwick	Tom Toon & Daughters	3485.349	EA Returns	No



Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source [^]	Temporary Permission	
Mill Top Farm, Melton Mowbray	Mr and Mrs Lambert	1466	EA Returns	No	
Snibston Drive, Coalville	Biffa	2410.17	EA Returns	No	
Trent Lane, Castle Donington	Veolia	1344	EA Returns	No	
	TOTAL THROUGHPUT 8,705.52				

Where the source is stated as EA Returns this represents the maximum tonnes of waste classified as inert the site has handled between 2006 and 2014 as reported in the Environment Agency's Waste Data Interrogator.



Table 10: Capacity of Permitted C&D (inert) Waste Recycling Operations

Site	Operator	Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source	Temporary Permission
Recycling Operations				
Bardon Quarry	Aggregate Industries	180000	2014/0840/07	Yes, until 31 st December 2051 (pp. 2014/0840/07)
Cliffe Hill Quarry	MQP	Unknown	2012/0305/04	Yes, until 31 st December 2032 (pp. 2012/0305/04 and 2007/1059/04)
Cloud Hill Quarry	Breedon Aggregates	30000	2015/0042/07	Yes, until 31 st December 2026 (pp. 2015/0042/07, 2005/0508/07 and 2009/0940/07)
Lockington Quarry	Lafarge	40000	2014/0072/07	Yes, until 23 rd February 2026 (pp. 2014/0072/07 and 2007/1361/07)
Shawell Quarry	Lafarge	50000	2012/1487/03	Yes, Yes, until 31 st December 2044 (pp. 2012/1487/03)
	TOTAL THROUGHPUT	300,000		



Table 11: Operational Capacity of C&D (inert) Landfill Operations

Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source [^]	Temporary Permission
Landfill Operations				
Ellistown Quarry	Mick George	125000	2013/0924/07	Yes, until 21 st February 2042 (pp. 2013/0924/07)
Huncote Quarry	Acresford Sand & Gravel	204990.719	EA Returns	Yes until 31 st December 2020 (pp 2010/0405/01)
Husbands Bosworth Quarry	Lafarge-Tarmac	85965	EA Returns	Yes until 31 st May 2015 (pp 2001/1637/03)
Lockington Quarry	Lafarge-Tarmac	288548	EA Returns	Yes until 2 nd December 2025 (pp 2007/1361/07)
New Albion	Veolia	85514.68	EA Returns	Yes, until 31 st December 2014 (pp 1998/0569/07)
Shawell Quarry	Lafarge-Tarmac	114220.979	EA Returns	Yes until 31 st December 2044 (pp 2006/1565/03)
Slip Inn Quarry	Cemex	179340.189	EA Returns	Yes until 30 th September 2019 (pp 2004/0269/03)
	TOTAL THROUGHPUT	1,083,579.567		

Where the source is stated as EA Returns this represents the maximum tonnes of waste classified as inert the site has handled between 2006 and 2014 as reported in the Environment Agency's Waste Data Interrogator.



Table 12: Capacity of Permitted C&D (inert) Waste Landfill Operations

Site	Operator	Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source	Temporary Permission
Recycling Operations				
Brooksby Quarry	Lafarge-Tarmac	200000	2014/0190/06 and 2014/0191/06	Yes, until 31 st December 2026 (pp. 2014/0191/06)
	TOTAL THROUGHPUT	200,000		



Table 13: Operational Capacity of Hazardous Waste Landfill, Recycling and Transfer Operations

Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source [#]	Temporary Permission
Landfill Operations				
Shawell Quarry	Lafarge-Tarmac	11837.39	EA Returns	Yes until 31 st December 2044 (pp 2006/1565/03)
	TOTAL CAPACITY	11,837.39		
Recycling Operations				
6 & 7 Wilson Road	Rentokil	5.128	EA Returns	No
A E Thompson & Son	Thompson, A E	0.21	EA Returns	No
Bakers Waste Services Ltd	Bakers Waste Services Ltd	367.29	EA Returns	No
Bakers Waste Services Ltd	Bakers Waste Services Ltd	940	EA Returns	No
Barrie Mills Motor Salvage	Mills, Barrie	45	EA Returns	No
Barwell RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	146.724	EA Returns	No
Bottesford RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	23.987	EA Returns	No
Bruntingthorpe Airfield	C. Walton	32	EA Returns	No
Bruntingthorpe Proving Ground	G J D Services	486	EA Returns	No
Coalville RHWS			EA Returns	No
Dave Lount Cars	Mr D Lount, Mr G D Lount & Mrs C Lount	112	EA Returns	No



Table 13 continued

Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source [#]	Temporary Permission
De-pack Ltd	De-pack Ltd	2293.817	EA Returns	No
Direct Car Spares Ltd	Direct Car Spares Ltd	334.98	EA Returns	No
E W Middletons	Peter & Jane Middleton	584.3	EA Returns	No
Enderby Metals	John & Dean Anthony Rainbow	103.165	EA Returns	No
Flying Spares Ltd	Flying Spares Ltd	150	EA Returns	No
G C Stevens & Son	Mark John Stevens & Gordon Charles Stevens	683.12	EA Returns	No
Glenfield Motor Spares Ltd	Glenfield Motor Spares Ltd	3468.895	EA Returns	No
J M Car Breakers	J M Car Breakers Ltd	259.9	EA Returns	No
Kibworth RHWS	Leicestershire County Council		EA Returns	No
Loughborough RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	99.281	EA Returns	No
Lount RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	81.389	EA Returns	No
Lutterworth RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	60.88	EA Returns	No
Market Harborough	Edelchemie (U K) Ltd	213.51	EA Returns	No
Market Harborough RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	84.055	EA Returns	No
Marquis Court, Moira	1st Class Hygiene	7.849	EA Returns	No
Melton RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	101.636	EA Returns	No



Table 13 continued

Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source [#]	Temporary Permission		
Mill Top Farm	Mr Harry Lambert & Mrs Jennifer Lambert	8	EA Returns	No		
Mountsorrel RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	259.713	EA Returns	No		
National Refrigerants Ltd Hinckley	National Refrigerants Ltd	129.85	EA Returns	No		
Oadby RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	128.79	EA Returns	No		
R & Z Transport Ltd	R & Z Transport Ltd	674.5	EA Returns	No		
Shepshed RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	81.811	EA Returns	No		
Silverdell U K Ltd	Silverdell U K Ltd	199.318	EA Returns	No		
Somerby RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	12.444	EA Returns	No		
The B M Shop	My B M Shop Ltd	78	EA Returns	No		
Wanlip Plant Site, A46, Syston	Mr Winterton	47.46	EA Returns	No		
Wastecycle	Wastecycle	933.12	EA Returns	No		
Whetstone RHWS	Leicestershire County Council	284.844	EA Returns	No		
TOTAL CAPACITY 13,522.966						
Transfer Operations						
Ark Environmental Services	Ark Environmental Services Ltd	377.633	EA Returns	No		



Table 13 continued

Site	Operator	Operational Capacity (tonnes per annum)	Source [#]	Temporary Permission	
Cannon Hygiene, Leicester	Cannon Hygiene Ltd	145.17	EA Returns	No	
Coalville Waste Transfer Station	North West Leicestershire District Council	937.612	EA Returns	No	
Fisher Scientific U K Limited	Fisher Scientific U K Limited	174.57	EA Returns	No	
Hinckley Hazardous Waste Transfer Station	Augean Treatment Ltd	4418.307	EA Returns	No	
Labwaste	Labwaste	1273.024	EA Returns	No	
Leicester Site	O C S Group U K Limited	123.089	EA Returns	No	
Stowlin Ltd	Stowlin Ltd	10.86	EA Returns	No	
TOTAL CAPACITY 7,460.265					

[#] Where the source is stated as EA Returns this represents the maximum tonnes of waste classified as hazardous the site has handled between 2006 and 2014 as reported in the Environment Agency's Waste Data Interrogator.