

Equality & Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA)

This Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) will enable you to assess the **new**, **proposed or significantly changed** policy/ practice/ procedure/ function/ service** for equality and human rights implications.

Undertaking this assessment will help you to identify whether or not this policy/practice/procedure/ function/ service** may have an adverse impact on a particular community or group of people. It will ultimately ensure that as an Authority we do not discriminate and we are able to promote equality, diversity and human rights.

Before completing this form please refer to the EHRIA <u>guidance</u>, for further information about undertaking and completing the assessment. For further advice and guidance please contact your Departmental Equalities Group or <u>equality@leics.gov.uk</u>

**Please note: The term 'policy' will be used throughout this assessment as shorthand for policy, practice, procedure, function or service.

V D. (-1)					
Key Details					
Name of policy being assessed:	Burbage Library				
. , ,					
Department and Section:	Communities & Wellbeing				
Bepartment and oconom.	Communice a Weinbeing				
Nome of load officer/ job title and	Nigol Thomas Hood of Convice				
Name of lead officer/ job title and	Nigel Thomas Head of Service				
others completing this assessment:	Chris Housden (Equalities Officer)				
	Locality Manager				
Contact telephone numbers:	0116 3056947				
N	N. 1				
Name of officer/s responsible for	Nigel Thomas				
implementing this policy:					
Date EIA assessment started:	June 2014				
Date EIA assessment completed:	September 2014				
·					

Section 1: Defining the policy

Section 1: Defining the policy

You should begin this assessment by defining and outlining the scope of this policy. You should consider the impact or likely impact of the policy in relation to all areas of equality, diversity and human rights, as outlined in Leicestershire County Council's Equality Strategy.

1 What is new or changed in this policy? What has changed and why?

- Leicestershire County Council's (LCC) Communities and Wellbeing Service incorporates the Council's Libraries, Heritage, Arts, Archives and Adult Learning Services. LCC's Policy is determined by the statutory requirements contained in the Library & Museums Act 1964 (see paragraph 8). The Service is subject to a significant reduction in funding and as a result all related services are subject to review.
- 2. As a result, a package of proposals has been proposed and consulted on which are designed to meet the Council's Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) target of £800K from the Communities and Wellbeing Services Budget.
- 3. The proposals as they affect the Library Service in full are:
 - a) 16 major market town and shopping centre libraries funded by the County Council with a 20% reduction in opening hours
 - b) The development of an infrastructure support package which would enable local communities to run their local library in partnership with the County Council.
 - c) An online library service available 24 hours a day, 365 days a year to those with access to the internet
 - d) A mobile library service that will provide a regular library service to most villages without a static library.
- 4. This EHRIA explores the potential Equality and Human Rights impacts on b) as they specifically affect Burbage Library. The proposal outlined assumes that local communities come forward to provide the management of the library service with a support package from LCC. Should a local community not come forward LCC may have to consider a decision to close the library, or consider alternative means of service delivery if the proposed solution is insufficient to satisfy the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED).
- 5. This approach changes the current method of service delivery which sees LCC fully funding a network of 52 libraries across the County.
- 6. Responsibility for service delivery currently lies principally with the Senior Management Team of the Communities and Wellbeing section, and then through service operational teams. This arrangement would

- change in that there would be a partnership formed by LCC with a local community based on the delivery of the library service.
- 7. The service areas are compliant with Leicestershire County Council's Equality Policy.
- Does this relate to any other policy within your department, the Council or with other partner organisations? If yes, please reference the relevant policy or EHRIA. If unknown, further investigation may be required.
 - 8. Any decision must bear in mind the statutory provisions of the Library & Museums Act 1964. Under the Act, public library service provision is a statutory duty for local authorities. The requirement is to provide a comprehensive and efficient public library service. To comply with the Act, local authorities must:
 - Promote a comprehensive and efficient library service for all persons in the area that want to make use of it (Section 7)
 - Promote the service (Section 7(2)(b))
 - Lend books and other printed material free of charge for those who live, work or study in the area. (section 8(3)(b))

The Government superintends the work of Councils, and has a duty to:

- Oversee and promote the public library service (Section 1(1))
- Take action where a local authority fails to perform its duties (Section 10)
- 9. In addition, any decisions must bear in mind the strategic objectives outlined in the Communities and Wellbeing's own service plan, as follows:
- To enhance the quality of life and sense of wellbeing of Leicestershire residents by commissioning or providing a choice of community heritage, learning and cultural resources.
- To contribute to the provision of relevant information and cultural resources
- To combat worklessness by developing skills and training opportunities as an adult learning provider
- To enhance the tourism offer of the County
- 10. The provision of Information and Advice under the terms of the Care Act (to be enacted in 2015) is likely to place greater responsibilities on local authorities for these services. Libraries provide a natural conduit and local resource for this work.
- 11. Any changes to Library provision must therefore satisfy the requirements of the Equalities & Human Rights Acts as well as the Public Libraries and Museums Act. As the legislative requirements have a different focus, we will be addressing the Equalities and Human Rights duties in this EHRIA document, and the National libraries legislation in a separate online *Community Assessment*. Some of the

information required in the two documents will overlap. For example, transport links are important in understanding how local communities inter-relate, but also help to inform the difficulties that people with disabilities (particularly mobility related) may have in accessing alternative provisions.

Who are the people / groups (target groups) affected and what is the intended change or outcome for them?

12. Potentially everyone in the locality who uses the library, or may do so in the future. Educational establishments and individuals looking for volunteering opportunities may particularly be affected.

2012/13 data on users:

The total number of visitors at Burbage was 16,300, of which 783 were active borrowers, the 19th highest figure of the 36 Community libraries. As of 31/12/2013, there were 1,056 live members, broken down in age range as follows:

<u>Age band</u>	<u>Number</u>			
0-4	107			
5-17	453			
18- 49	272			
50- 64	88			
65+	136			

- The two highest age groups as a percentage of total members are 5-17 (42%) and 18-49 (25%). Just over half of the total is under 18.
- There were 96 attendees at 12 events in the library.
- Burbage has the 29th highest number of individual PC users out of the 36 Community Libraries.
- 13. Key protected groups (see Section 2C) for which the proposals may impact are:
- Younger people
- Older people
- People with disabilities
- Pregnancy and maternity
- Race.
- Religion
- Other groups
- Community Cohesion
- A different governance arrangement, as is being proposed may have an impact on access to a range of services. For Burbage these include

Automatic Doors	Audio/Talking Books	DVDs for Hire
Books for loan	Reference	Access to informal
	books/Information	learning
	services	

Newspapers/Magazines	Study	Children's Story
	space/Homework	Tapes/CDs
	help	
Home Library Service	Local Studies/Family	Childrens area
	History	
Bookstart	Parent led story time	Photocopier
Scanner	Printer	Wi-Fi
Internet taster sessions	Local/Tourism	Fax machine
	Information	

• Burbage library current opening hours are:

Monday 2pm – 5pm Tuesday 2pm – 5pm Wednesday Closed All Day

Thursday 10am – 12 noon 4pm – 7pm

Friday Closed All day Saturday 10am – 1pm

Transport links

The nearest main library is Hinckley Library. The distance by road is 2.1 miles There are various Hinckley Bus services (2, 7 and 8) which run between Burbage and Hinckley every 10-15 minutes during current opening hours. The journey time is between 10 and 20 minutes. Alternatively, users may choose to walk from Burbage to Hinckley which would take approximately 30 minutes. This may impact on some protected groups, e.g. young people, elderly people and people with disabilities

Will this policy meet the Equality Act 2010 requirements to have due regard to the need to meet any of the following aspects? (Please tick and explain how)

the need to meet an	/	•	depends: (I lease flort and explain new)
	Yes	No	How?
Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation		x	
Advance equality of opportunity between different groups	X		The Library seeks to provide free reading and audio material to groups who may not otherwise have access, such as children from low income families. Library services are also made available to people with restricted mobility, via the Home Library Service.
Foster good relations between different groups	X		The library is open to everyone and helps to attract people from different backgrounds to the wider opportunities offered within the locality.

Section 2: Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) Screening

Section 2: Equality and Human Rights Assessment Screening

The purpose of this section of the assessment is to help you decide if a full EHRIA is required.

If you have already identified that a full EHRIA is needed for this policy/ practice/ procedure/ function/ service, either via service planning processes or other means, then please go straight to Section 3 on Page 7 of this document.

Secti	on 2		
A: Re	esearch and Consultation		
5.	Have the target groups been consulted about the following?	Yes	No*
	a) their current needs and aspirations and what is important to them;		Х
	 b) any potential impact of this change on them (positive and negative, intended and unintended); 		Х
	c) potential barriers they may face		Х
6.	If the target groups have not been consulted directly have representatives been consulted or research explored (e.g. Equality Mapping)?		Х
7.	Have other stakeholder groups/ secondary groups (e.g. carers of service users) been explored in term of potential unintended impacts?		Х
8.	*If you answered 'no' to the questions above and feel that onecessary, please use the space below to explain why.		
	Consultation has been undertaken between 5 th May and 7 th essential element of assessing local need, what the library how it might be met in the future. It will take account of the groups and of access and deprivation issues. The opinions essential in collecting this data. Consultation with all stakeh when realistic proposals for the future of the library have be context of the County-wide service.	does to mee needs of diff of local peo olders will ta	et this, and erent ple are ake place

Section 2 B: Monitoring Impact						
8.	Are there systems set up to:	Yes	No			
	a) monitor impact (positive and negative, intended and unintended) for different groups;	х				

b) enable open feedback and suggestions from different communities

Note: If no to Question 8 you will need to ensure that monitoring systems are established to check for impact on the protected characteristics.

Section 2

C: Potential Impact

9.

Use the table below to specify if any individuals or community groups who identify with any of the 'protected characteristics' may potentially be affected by this policy and describe any positive and negative impacts, including any barriers.

	Yes	No	Comments
Age	X		Data for usage by age group is reflected above. It is evident that younger people would be disadvantaged if some of the services were discontinued, such as Parent-led story time, Homework help, Bookstart, Summer Reading Challenge, the children's area and the children's audio books, and these could not be provided elsewhere.
Disability	X		If the Home Library service was discontinued, this would disadvantage people with poor mobility and unable to reach he library unaided. Travelling distances between libraries in a reduced network may reduce access for people with physical mobility difficulties or other problems with travel as a result of mental health conditions or learning difficulties. The public transport links for Burbage to the nearest main library at Hinckley are outlined in section 1 (3) above.
Gender Reassignment		х	No disadvantage identified.
Marriage and Civil Partnership		х	No disadvantage identified.
Pregnancy and Maternity	Х		The library is an information point for women in pregnancy and during maternity. Similar information may be provided

Race	x		elsewhere. Travelling may be difficult for women in advanced pregnancy or with babies, so the comments regarding travelling (listed under disability above) may equally apply to this group. In common with other libraries
			within the network, Burbage is committed to providing a culturally and racially appropriate range of reading and audio material. This must be retained in any revised provision.
Religion or Belief	Х		As above.
Sex		X	Nothing identified.
Sexual Orientation	X		See Race (above).
Other groups e.g. rural isolation, deprivation, health inequality, carers, asylum seeker and refugee communities, looked after children, deprived or disadvantaged communities	X		The particular needs of Burbage, as determined by its demographic and socio- economic profile will need to be analysed to assess the value of the provision to its locality. There are no known areas of local deprivation. There is a local travelling community. There is a relatively low level of unemployment and high skill levels. Any difficulties specific to Burbage identified from local knowledge or through consultation may inform the EHRIA.
Community Cohesion	Х		Many of the services provided contribute towards the promotion of community cohesion.

10. Are the human rights of individuals <u>potentially</u> affected by this proposal? Could there be an impact on human rights for any of the protected characteristics? (Please tick)

Explain why you consider that any particular <u>article in the Human Rights Act</u> may apply to your policy/ practice/ function or procedure and how the human rights of individuals are likely to be affected below: [NB. Include positive and negative impacts as well as barriers in benefiting from the above proposal]

	Yes	No	Comments
Part 1: The Convention- Rights	s and F	reedo	oms
Article 2: Right to life		X	
Article 3: Right not to be tortured or treated in an inhuman or degrading way		Х	
Article 4: Right not to be subjected to slavery/ forced labour		X	
Article 5: Right to liberty and security		Х	
Article 6: Right to a fair trial		Х	
Article 7: No punishment without law		Х	
Article 8: Right to respect for private and family life	X		The library makes a significant contribution to family life as some local children might not have access to books at home Promoting interest in this way nurtures self- respect and pricing a locality that may not read arise from elsewhere.
Article 9: Right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion	Х		Libraries are a vital source of information, particularly for marginalised groups (e.g. from minority cultures) who may not have this readily available from other sources (e.g. local retail outlets). In this sense, the service promotes the rights contained in Article 9.
Article 10: Right to freedom of expression	Х		As for Article 9.
Article 11: Right to freedom of assembly and association		X	
Article 12: Right to marry		Х	
Article 14: Right not to be discriminated against	X		The shortfalls that may occur, identified for Articles 8 & 9, ar more likely to discriminate against certain groups, e.g. B or people from minority faiths
Part 2: The First Protocol			
Article 1: Protection of property/ peaceful		X	

	enjoyment							
	Article 2: Right	to education	X			libraries a their prov comes fro Reading (and the si support. this is not statutory	om the Sum Challenge, I tudy/homev It is recogn t part of ma education	aspect of added value amer Bookstart work aised that ainstream
	Article 3: Right elections	to free		X				
Secti D: De	on 2 ecision			•				
11.	Is there evidence	e or any other re	ason t	0		Yes	No	Unknown
	suggest that:	•						
	a) this policy could have a different effect or adverse impact on any section of the community;			İ		Х		
	3600011 01	the community,				X		
	 b) any section of the community of the face barriers in benefiting from proposal 			•				
12.	Based on the answers to the questions above, what is the likely impact of this policy?							
	This is to be assessed in a full EHRIA.							
	No Impact Positive Impact Neutral Impact Impact Impact Ur							
	: If the decision i quired.	s 'Negative Imp	oact' o	r 'In	npa	act Not Kno	own' an EH	RIA Report
13.	Is an EHRIA rep	ort required?			Ye	es X		No

Section 3: Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) Report

Section 3: Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment Report

This part of the assessment will help you to think thoroughly about the impact of this policy and to critically examine whether it is likely to have a positive or negative impact on different groups within our diverse community. It is also to identify any barriers that may detrimentally affect under-represented communities or groups, who may be disadvantaged by the way in which we carry out our business.

Using the information gathered either within the EHRIA Screening or independently of this process, this EHRIA Report should be used to consider the impact or likely impact of the policy in relation to all areas of equality, diversity and human rights as outlined in Leicestershire County Council's Equality Strategy.

Section 3

A: Research and Consultation

When considering the target groups it is important to think about whether new data needs to be collected or whether there is any existing research that can be utilised.

- 15. Based on the gaps identified either in the EHRIA Screening or independently of this process, <u>how</u> have you now explored the following and <u>what</u> does this information/data tell you about each of the diverse groups?
 - a) current needs and aspirations and what is important to individuals and community groups (including human rights);
 - b) likely impacts (positive and negative, intended and unintended) to individuals and community groups (including human rights);
 - c) likely barriers that individuals and community groups may face (including human rights)
- 1. From April to July 2014 the County Council undertook a County wide public consultation exercise about a range of proposals for libraries which if implemented, would deliver the savings outlined in the MTFS. In total the savings for Communities and Wellbeing totalled £800,000. The consultation contained the following elements:
 - Online and hard copy survey
 - Stakeholder survey
 - Local public consultation meetings
 - 4 focus groups were held with two key protected groups: younger and older people. The work of the groups centred around the total proposals outlined in section 1 (1) para 3
 - 4 community partnership workshops to enable interested people and groups to further explore the detailed proposals with regard to partnership libraries

2. The full report on the outcomes of the consultation can be viewed in the cabinet report of 19th September via the following link http://politics.leics.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=135&Mld=4190&Ver=4

Demographic Analysis

- 3. The questionnaire included a range of demographic questions. As well as allowing for the profile of respondents to be understood it also makes it possible to understand the views of different groups. Each of the rating questions within the survey has been cross tabulated by a range of respondent groups. The full list can be seen on page 9 of Appendix B of the September cabinet report. Whilst the assessment has considered all 9 protected groups, the following groups have been focussed on as those where it is reasonable to suggest that they might be disproportionately affected by the proposals:
 - Gender
 - Age
 - Children aged 0-10
 - Children aged 11-17
 - Long term Illness or disability
 - Ethnicity
 - Religion.
- 4. Breaking down the survey response to each individual library does not give sufficient data to enable all considerations to be informed. However it is reasonable to assume that broad indicative responses from the survey overall can be used allied with local knowledge at each individual site and the responses noted from the individual community public meetings.
- 5. A demographic sub-group analysis was undertaken as part of the consultation. This can be found as Appendix J of the September cabinet report. This report analyses the demographic sub-groups within the context of the questions asked as part of the survey. From this analysis the following groups can be summarised as being most affected when considering the implementation of the proposals:
 - Both genders
 - People of 75 years or older
 - Retired people

- People with children
- People with a disability
- Children and young people

Use and Value

- 6. From the analysis overall, it is fair to conclude for all libraries that the majority of users come to the library to borrow a book or hire a CD and that this is no different for this library.
- 7. The following library services were valued a great deal from the general survey:
- Free Books for loan including e-books service (98%)
- 24/7 online renewals and reservations (75%)
- Events and activities for all ages (73%)
- Educational resources and support for children and young people (72%)
- Informal learning (71%)
- 8. The following services were thought most important from the general survey:
- Free books including the e-lending service (95%)
- Public Computers and public Wi-Fi (47%)
- Educational Resources for Children and young people (34%)
- Face to face advice (34%)
- 24/7 online renewals and reservations (27%)
- Events and activities for all ages (27%)
- In addition, Burbage Parish Council completed the stakeholder survey which broadly identified the same service areas: (stakeholder survey responses Column Q onwards)
- Free Books for Loan
- Public computers and Wi-Fi
- Events and Activities for all ages

- A community space
- Informal learning Wriggly Readers
- 10. It is valuable to identify what is felt to be "valued a great deal " and "most important" as consideration will need to be given to governance arrangement as part of any new partnerships that might be established following these proposals. If a local group is established to manage a library, it will be important for them to understand these views.

Responses to proposals

- 11. The detailed analysis of the responses to the questions associated with the proposals for community partnership libraries can be found in Chapter 4 of Appendix B of the September Cabinet Report, and Chapter 4 of Appendix C of the September Cabinet report.
- 12. The community libraries affected by these proposals are: Anstey, Barrow upon Soar, Barwell, Bottesford, Braunstone Town, Burbage, Castle Donington, Cosby, Countesthorpe, Desford, East Goscote, Enderby, Fleckney, Glenhills, Great Glen, Groby, Hathern, Ibstock, Kegworth, Kibworth, Kirby Muxloe, Leicester Forest East, Market Bosworth, Markfield, Measham, Moutsorrel, Narborough, Newbold Verdon, Quorn, Ratby, Rothley, Sapcote, Sileby, South Wigston, Stoney Stanton, and Thurmaston.
- 13. In broad terms, the consultation proposed that the County Council would offer a support package (including a contribution towards the infrastructure costs for an initial period of up to 5 years) if a local community organised itself to provide the staffing resource, presumed to be through volunteers.
- 14. Across all library responses, individuals who were more likely to agree with the proposals included those who had retired, those whose first choice library would be fully funded by the County Council, and those who were interested in running one of the 36 community libraries.
- 15. Individuals who were more likely to disagree with the proposals included those aged 35-54 years, those of other religion, those living in a town fringe suburb of the County, regular computer users, those who stated that they would not use an alternative library and those who were not interested in helping run one of the 36 community libraries.
- 16. Those respondents who were more likely to be interested a great deal or a fair amount in getting involved in running a community partnership library included; females, those aged under 35, those aged 55-74, those with no religion, and those using one of the 36 community libraries as their current library.

Impact if no community partnership came forward

- 17. For respondents who said that the library they used most often was a community library, a question was asked to ascertain how easy or difficult it would be for them to access alternative library services
- 18. The consultation asked people which alternative libraries they would use if Burbage library was unavailable. Hinckley library was the only Library cited. (chart 10 pg. 23 of appendix B: main Consultation Survey results)
- 19. Individuals who were more likely to find access to alternative library services either *very or fairly easily* included: males, those aged 55-74, those with no children, those with two or more cars, those who were retired, those living in urban areas of the County and those who were interested in helping to run a community library.
- 20. Individuals who were more likely to find access to alternative library services either *very or fairly difficult* included those aged 75 years and older, those with children, those with an illness or disability, those living in town fringe areas of the County, those who stated that they would not use an alternative library and those who were not interested in running a community library.
- 21. Common themes made in free text comments included the following which presented possible barriers faced by communities, particularly if no community partnership was able to be established and a decision to close was a possibility
- Increased distance to a nearest library, associated with cost and time, not being able to walk to the local library, and general inconvenience
- Transport: Specifically dealing with transport issues such as lack of access to a car, impractical or no suitable bus service
 - If Burbage library was to close, Hinckley library would be the nearest alternative. Regular users of Burbage library who usually walk there would instead face issues with lack of parking in Hinckley town centre and having to pay parking costs. They may also have to face sitting in queues of traffic. People are also worried about the frequency and lack of buses along with the issues surrounding their age and health that does not allow them to board a bus. Some people thought that using another library other than Burbage was not a viable option for them.
- Access of services associated with disabilities/old age. Respondents were
 concerned with disabilities and mobility issues often associated with old age and
 the ability to access library services independently. In addition, this category also
 included those respondents who expressed concern for these groups or were
 worried how they would be able to access libraries in the future if they were to
 develop a disability or mobility issue.
- Access of services associated with Children/young people. Similar to the issues associated with disabilities/old age, particularly for children being able to access the library independently.

Issues for children and young people that may occur include not being able to access the internet/PCs for their homework, along with homework help and support.

Pre-school children may not be able to attend Story time sessions.

4-12 year olds may not be able to take part in the Summer Reading Challenge.

Children may not be able to access books for learning to read and improving literacy.

- 22. Should a community partnership library be established, then it is anticipated that the core lending service would be maintained, and the impact of these issues would be mitigated. These become more critical factors should a situation arise where there is no willingness within a community to locally manage the library or a viable partnership library cannot be established, and alternative options which may include closure may have to be considered.
- 23. An Online interactive dashboard has been produced and is available through the following link:

https://public.tableausoftware.com/views/LibrariesDashboardFINAL/Page1?:embed=y&:display_count=no:showVizHome=no#

This collates a community profile of each area and should be used as supplementary information in informing any decisions about the future of each local library should a community partnership not be established.

Burbage Public Meeting

- 24. Appendix D of the September Cabinet report details the reports from each of the public meetings held across the County. The Burbage meeting took place at the Burbage Congregational Church on 14th May 2014. The meeting was attended by 21 people
- 25. A summary of the most significant issues associated with this EHRIA are:
- Feelings of general acceptance of the proposals.
- Concerns over young children, as main users of the library, around possible future opening hours that may not be in line with when children would tend to use the library.
- Suggestions for consulting with young people.
- Some concerns over who would be in charge/accountable for resources, maintenance etc. with the Community Partnership Model.
- Suggestion from Burbage Parish Council to explore raising the precept.

26. 16 exit questionnaires were returned after the meeting. 75% of respondents tended to agree or agreed strongly that the proposals provide a reasonable way forward given the resources available. No one tended to disagree or disagreed strongly. 69% tended to support or supported the overall proposals strongly, with no one tending to oppose or oppose the overall proposals strongly. With regards to the proposals for the 36 community partnership libraries, again 69% tended to support or supported the proposals strongly, with only 6% tending to oppose or oppose strongly. 75% would probably consider getting involved or would definitely like to get involved in some way in running the local library.

Perceptions of School age and Older Library users

27. As part of the consultation, the Council commissioned independent qualitative research with school age and older library users about the proposals. These two protected groups form significant demographic groups who use all libraries, and the research outcome can be used to inform decision making regarding libraries generally. The details of the report can be viewed in Appendix F of the September Cabinet Report.

28. A summary of the main points are:

- Participants felt that libraries had a broad social and economic role which impacted on educational opportunities for young people and adults, and benefitted the health and wellbeing of residents with implications at key stages of their lives.
- Accessibility to services was important for school age and older library users-both in terms of proximity and the ease of getting to a venue. School age children wanted to be able to get to a library under their own steam.
- The most important aspect of library services for both groups is access to books for borrowing.
- Knowledgeable staff was seen as important
- The social aspect of the library, for sharing common interests and meeting friends and acquaintances was seen as important for older children and older library users
- Library activities in terms of groups and classes were seen as important to both groups.
- 29. The impact of the proposed changes initially resulted in caution from both groups, mainly associated with the points highlighted in paragraph 28. Once more information was explored about the nature of the proposals against the financial challenges, both groups perceived some value in a library managed by a local community, dependent upon the support package that is made available.

- 30. Following further discussion it became clear that the existence of a 'library function' was seen as most important rather than the management arrangements for that function. This is important to consider should no community partnership come forward to run the library and should inform future decisions.
- 16. Is any further research, data collection or evidence required to fill any gaps in your understanding of the potential or known effects of the policy on target groups?
- 31. The survey and consultation results together with the data already held on Library use and locality data reflecting deprivation was expected to be sufficient to enable us to understand the Equality and Human Rights impacts on Communities and protected groups. However, the Stakeholder responses have raised further issues that need to be explored, i.e.:
- a. The proposal to retain core libraries in urban sites was questioned on the grounds that towns have more alternative provisions than rural settings. An alternative would be nominating rural sites as core provision instead. Although this is a valid point, it does not present as an issue for the EHRIA as being a rural dweller is not one of the protected characteristic groups.
- b. Participants in the survey requested more information on training of volunteers.
- c. More information was requested on funding arrangements, employment status, and running costs. A number of participants felt that their commitment to involvement in the future running of services could only be made with comprehensive data available.
- 32. Work is in progress to provide more detail in these areas and for the findings to be reported back to cabinet in November 2014.
- 33. In addition, a Scrutiny Review Panel is scheduled for October 2014 to review the information outlined in c. above.

Resource materials that have been consulted so far include:

Leicestershire County Council: Report to cabinet 19th September 2014. <u>"Outcome of consultation on proposals for changes in the delivery of library services"</u>, Includes:

Appendix B: main consultation survey reports

Appendix C: stakeholder consultation survey results

Appendix D: reports from consultation events

Appendix E: community partnership workshops

Appendix F: report on qualitative results

Appendix J: Demographic sub group analysis.

<u>Sustaining Cultural Services</u> (produced by Sue Charteris for Leicestershire County Council September 2013)

Optimising library location for six target groups across Leicestershire (LCC Research & Insight 2013)

<u>Creating a Comprehensive Library Service – getting the Equality Duty right</u> (Sue Charteris February 2014)

Leicestershire County Council; Corporate Information Service data on services provided at all 52 library locations.

Leicestershire County Council; Research & Insight data on library usage, membership and issue numbers 2013/14.

2011 Census results for Leicestershire.

Leicestershire County Council; public transport data.

Arts Council England; Community Libraries publications:

- Learning from Experience guiding principles for local authorities
- Learning from Experience summary briefing for local authorities
- Community Libraries 10 case studies

When considering who is affected by this proposed policy, it is important to think about consulting with and involving a range of service users, staff or other stakeholders who may be affected as part of the proposal.

- Based on the gaps identified either in the EHRIA Screening or independently of this process, <u>how</u> have you further consulted with those affected on the likely impact and <u>what</u> does this consultation tell you about each of the diverse groups?
- 34. The potential disadvantages to protected groups identified in Section 2 (part 9) have largely been confirmed by the consultation surveys, community meetings and focus group activity. The resulting observations are carried over into part 19 (below) and will be addressed with any necessary mitigation in the Equalities Improvement Plan.
- 18. Is any further consultation required to fill any gaps in your understanding of the potential or known effects of the policy on target groups?
 - 35. Depending on the outcome of further work suggested by the questions arising from the survey and consultation (outlined in 16 above), further consultation may be necessary.
- 19. Based on any evidence and findings, use the table below to specify if any individuals or community groups who identify with any 'protected characteristics' are <u>likely</u> be affected by this policy. Describe any positive and negative impacts, including what barriers these individuals or groups may face.

	Comments
	Comments
Age	In Burbage 50% of respondents to the survey were aged 25-54 50% of respondents were aged 55-85. The observations made in part 2 above have held through consultation. These mostly relate to services for children and young people, supporting both their educational development and their parent's efforts to ensure good educational opportunities outside of School and term times. It is also of note that a significant number of response to the Consultation came from older age groups, reflecting a relatively high level of engagement and concern with the future of Library services from this age group.
Disability	In Burbage none of the respondents to the survey identified themselves as having a long term illness or disability As above, the factors of concern with this group will need to be addressed in the revised service. The principal one being access to Library services in the event of library closure or a reduction in local provisic Consideration of transport links, the pattern of the future network, and decisions regarding the Home ar Mobile library networks are all therefore material considerations for this EHRIA.
Gender Reassignment	In Burbage no respondent to the survey identified themselves as having a gender reassignment. Nothing was identified in the Consultation
Marriage and Civil Partnership	Nothing was identified in the consultation
Pregnancy and Maternity Race	As an information point, Libraries may play an important role in this respect. However, this is an are where alternative sources such as doctor's surgeries would be expected to have a role. Consideration as t impact of changes to the service in respect to childre would need to be borne in mind In Burbage none of the respondents to the survey identified the marking as a being Black or Black Priticipal.
	identified themselves as being Black or Black British. There were no counter indicators in the responses.
Religion or Belief Sex	In Burbage 30% of respondents to the survey stated that they had no religion and 70% stated they were Christian. There were no counter indicators in the responses. Little evidence was presented that suggested that people with different religions or beliefs were disproportionately affected by the proposals In Burbage, 50% of respondents to the survey were
	Female.
Sexual Orientation	In Burbage 100% of respondents to the survey identified themselves as heterosexual Nothing of note emerged from the consultation.

	T
Other groups e.g. rural isolation, deprivation, health inequality, carers, asylum seeker and refugee communities, looked after children, deprived or disadvantaged communities	See Appendix D in the September Cabinet report on Consultation events. The consultation event did not elicit any comments surrounding any of these other groups.
Community Cohesion	The Library is an important community resource for Burbage with some elderly residents finding the trip to another library impossible. Also parents with young children will be affected as they may not be able to travel to another library to access the facilities.
particular Articles in the Human Rights of any individuals or	gs, use the table below to specify if any ghts Act are likely apply to your policy. Are the community groups affected by this proposal? Is or any of the protected characteristics?
	Comments
Part 1: The Convention- Rights a	and Freedoms N/A
Article 3: Right not to be tortured or treated in an inhuman or degrading way	N/A
Article 4: Right not to be subjected to slavery/ forced labour	N/A
Article 5: Right to liberty and security	N/A
Article 6: Right to a fair trial Article 7: No punishment	N/A N/A
without law Article 8: Right to respect for	As referred to in part 2, the library makes a significant
private and family life	contribution to family life as an estimated 1 in 5 children do not have access to a book at home.
Article 9: Right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion	Libraries are a vital source of information, particularly for marginalised groups (e.g. from minority cultures) who may not have this readily available from other sources (e.g. local retail outlets). In this sense, the service promotes the rights contained in Article 9.
Article 10: Right to freedom of expression Article 11: Right to freedom of	N/A N/A
Alticle II. Niulit to lifectorii oi	

Article 12: Right to marry	N/A		
	The shortfalls that may occur, as identified for Articles 8 & 9, are more likely to discriminate against certain groups, e.g. BME or people from minority faiths.		
Part 2: The First Protocol			
Article 1: Protection of property/ peaceful enjoyment	N/A		
Article 2: Right to education	The educational functions of libraries are a strong aspect of their provision, and added value comes from the Summer Reading Challenge, Bookstart, and the study / homework support. It is recognised that this is not part of mainstream statutory education provision, but an important enhancement.		
Article 3: Right to free elections	N/A		

Section 3

C: Mitigating and Assessing the Impact

Taking into account the research, data, consultation and information you have reviewed and/or carried out as part of this EHRIA, it is now essential to assess the impact of the policy.

- 21. If you consider there to be actual or potential adverse impact or discrimination, please outline this below. State whether it is justifiable or legitimate and give reasons.
 - 36. The intention behind the current proposal is that current services will continue, but operated by local communities with a measure of professional support. In order to satisfy the PSED in respect of potential disadvantages outlined above, it will be necessary for the related services to either continue or be realistically available and accessible to service users elsewhere, but not necessarily in the same format. It will be LCC's responsibility either to ensure that the revised provision meets this need, or to identify the alternatives.
 - 37. For Burbage, the services that fall into this category are:

For children & young people (principally school age):

- Providing Homework space / Homework help
- Children's story tapes/CDs
- Bookstart
- Parent led story time
- Bookstart Treasure Bags
- Summer Reading Challenge

- Study support for children & young people
- Caring About Reading for children in care

For people with disabilities:

- Home Library Service for those who cannot leave the home
- 38. All parties involved in the running of future Library operations (whether Council run or not) will be informed of EHRIA findings and the PSED duties for each location will be clarified. For council run services the duties & responsibilities will be clear, for Community (i.e. Voluntary) run examples the exact means of discharging responsibilities will need to be established, and may vary between different models.
- 39. Should communities not come forward to manage local libraries, then further assessment through the EHRIA process in tandem with any issues highlighted through the interactive community dashboard should be considered in order to inform future decision making.

N.B.

- i. If you have identified adverse impact or discrimination that is <u>illegal</u>, you are required to take action to remedy this immediately.
- ii. If you have identified adverse impact or discrimination that is <u>justifiable or legitimate</u>, you will need to consider what actions can be taken to mitigate its effect on those groups of people.
- Where there are potential barriers, negative impacts identified and/or barriers or impacts are unknown, please outline how you propose to minimise all negative impact or discrimination.
 - a) include any relevant research and consultations findings which highlight the best way in which to minimise negative impact or discrimination
 - b) consider what barriers you can remove, whether reasonable adjustments may be necessary, and how any unmet needs that you have identified can be addressed
 - c) if you are not addressing any negative impacts (including human rights) or potential barriers identified for a particular group, please explain why
 - 40. The following comments address points raised during the survey and consultation processes.
 - If provision or support is removed, it is unlikely to be reinstated.
 Therefore, if community volunteers offer to run libraries, some measure of sustainability needs to be established.

- The infrastructure support package needs to be clear in order for communities to take an informed decision as to whether a proposed local partnership arrangement is viable. This would include the training of community group volunteers and/or staffing arrangements, a clear partnership agreement established, and the level of professional support available from the County Council once community partnership libraries have been established.
- Should communities not come forward then other local locations, venues, and the deployment of the mobile library service should be explored in order to ensure access to books for lending, the home library service and supporting children and young people with educational resources in an informal setting.
- E- books and the internet are changing the way that people access books. These mediums may be harnessed to address some of the potential disadvantages identified in this EHRIA.
- Libraries are increasingly being recognised as community hubs which have value for community cohesion and as a means of reducing social and rural isolation. This is the case for Burbage as it has limited transport links to the nearest major library.

Section 3

D: Making a decision

- 23. Summarise your findings and give an overview as to whether the policy will meet Leicestershire County Council's responsibilities in relation to equality, diversity, community cohesion and human rights.
 - 41. In the event of community libraries either continuing as an LCC provision, or being run voluntarily, the mitigating actions identified below in the Equalities Improvement Plan will be expected to be taken by the responsible body. If similar provision can be identified elsewhere that meets the same need this would be a valid means of meeting the PSED requirement.

Section 3

E: Monitoring, evaluation & review of your policy

- Are there processes in place to review the findings of this EHRIA and make appropriate changes? In particular, how will you monitor potential barriers and any positive/ negative impact?
 - 42. In the case of a continuing provision with LCC involvement, the actions and targets outlined in the Equality Improvement Plan will be periodically reviewed for effectiveness and possible revision.
 - 43. If a provision is run through commissioning, the Procurement process has its own inbuilt Equality & Human Rights impact mechanism

- 44. At present, it is not clear how an EHRIA relating to a community run library would be implemented and reviewed. Establishing this would be a factor to consider when arrangements are drawn up, in conjunction with Legal Services.
- **25.** How will the recommendations of this assessment be built into wider planning and review processes?
 - e.g. policy reviews, annual plans and use of performance management systems
 - 45. The decision regarding the future of Burbage Library, together with all others in the network, will have an impact on the totality of Communities and Wellbeing's services, particularly the proposed Core provision. It will be necessary to establish clear lines of support and responsibilities, particularly what can be expected of any professional staff. The inter dependencies with other services that require clarity include the Home and Mobile Library Services.
 - 46. As referred to earlier, if mitigation is to be met through an alternative provision (such as a service referred to in the preceding paragraph) then that service will require periodic review to assess its effectiveness.

Section 3:

F: Equality and human rights improvement plan

Please list all the equality objectives, actions and targets that result from the Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) (continue on separate sheets as necessary). These now need to be included in the relevant service plan for mainstreaming and performance management purposes.

Equality Objective	Action	Target	Officer Responsible	By when
Protect the interests of disabled people in future library provision	Take account of accessibility and public transport provision when establishing the future pattern of the Library network. Take any geographical deficits into consideration when designing revised Mobile Library routes and Home Library provision. Ensure that any	Maintain and where possible improve the use of all library sites and services by people with disabilities of any kind.	Nigel Thomas	March 2015
	organisation taking over the running of a Library is aware of the Equality Act and Disability			
	Discrimination provisions.			
Protecting the interests of	Ensure, where possible,	Maintenance of current	Nigel Thomas	March 2015
different age groups	the continued operation	support for young people		

	of current services that assist children and parents with educational goals. Where this is not possible, identify alternative sources of similar services or encourage their development.	and their parents, particularly those in deprived areas. Maintain engagement with services by people of all age ranges.		
Protect the interests of minority ethnic groups and all faiths	Ensure that information and materials held in libraries reflect the cultural and faith needs of the locality.	Maintain engagement with services.	Nigel Thomas	March 2015
Preserve and promote community cohesion	Continue support and provision for wider groups through the network of the proposed Council funded libraries. e.g. unemployed, job seekers.		Locality Managers and local officers	Quarterly reviews
Combat the effects of social and rural isolation.	Take account of geographical location of services including mobile libraries when establishing the pattern of future provision.	Prevent the development of unserved areas and inconsistent provision. Consult on mobile library provision	Nigel Thomas	March 2015

	Maintain and develop E- books and Internet services.			
Ensure sustainability of services to protect all protected groups.	Thorough assessment of expressions of interest. Provision of training for volunteers Clarity of Infrastructure support arrangements Clarity of continued professional support for community run sites. Establish clear partnership agreements Clarify legal arrangements	Ensure that people continue to have access to library services who wish to.	Nigel Thomas Derk van der Wardt	March 2015

Section 4: Sign off and scrutiny

Upon completion, the Lead Officer completing this assessment is required to sign the document in the section below.

It is required that this Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) is scrutinised by your <u>Departmental Equalities Group</u> and signed off by the Chair of the Group.

Once scrutiny and sign off has taken place, a depersonalised version of this EHRIA should be published on Leicestershire County Council's website. Please send a copy of this form to louisa.jordan@leics.gov.uk, Members Secretariat, in the Chief Executive's department for publishing.

Section 4 A: Sign Off and Scrutiny		
Confirm, as appropriate, which elements of the EHRIA have been completed and are required for sign off and scrutiny.		
Equality and Human Rights Assessment Screening $\overline{\chi}$		
Equality and Human Rights Assessment Report X		
1 st Authorised Signature (EHRIA Lead Officer):		
Date:		
2 nd Authorised Signature (DEG Chair):		