Local Transport Plan 3 (LTP3) Performance Indicator (PI) Set

As detailed in our main <u>LTP3</u> document, there has been a significant shift in our approach from <u>LTP2</u>. In recent years substantial capital investment has given us the opportunity to improve and expand the condition and capacity of our transport network from an already high base. Consequently we were able to set ourselves, and largely achieve, a wide range of challenging improvement targets. Financial constraints have meant that such an approach is no longer achievable. In some instances we have still been able to set modest improvement targets but in others we have had to set targets to maintain, or manage a decline in, performance.

During the LTP2 period there were also a number of changes to how the performance of local authorities is monitored. The Best Value Performance Indicators in place at the beginning of the LTP2 period were replaced by the National Indicator Set in 2008/09, which in turn was closed at the end of 2010/11 and replaced by the coalition Government's Single Data List from April 2011. Although some of the performance indicators formerly included in the National Indicator Set have remained in the Single Data List and we will continue to report this information to central Government, we now have a much greater degree of choice in terms of the performance measures and targets we put in place. This has allowed us the freedom to consider and put in place a set of performance indicators which will inform and demonstrate our progress towards achieving the long-term goals and outcomes set out in LTP3, and the shorter term actions set out in our rolling three year Implementation Plan.

What it also means is that we have the flexibility to review and revise our performance indicators in order to ensure that they remain relevant as circumstances change. We will manage our performance through our established performance management regime which includes quarterly reporting to the Departmental Management Team. Key aspects of quarterly performance are also reported to the Department's Lead Member, the Council's Corporate Management Team, the Budget and Performance Monitoring Scrutiny Panel with a quarterly report submitted to Cabinet and our Leicestershire Together partners. We will also publish our performance on the County Council's website in the form of the Department's Annual Performance Report.

Our LTP3 PI Set is arranged in a two tier structure as follows.

Level 1 – Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

These are overarching PIs that will demonstrate whether we are achieving our long-term transport goals and outcomes that are in LTP3. They have been aligned with the Leicestershire Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS) outcomes, the PIs contained in the DfT's 2011/12 Business Plan, the Government's 'single list of data requirements for local government' and the actions that are in our first LTP3 Implementation Plan. There are a total of seven KPIs covering: vehicle speeds (as a proxy for congestion and journey time on our road network); active and sustainable travel; accessibility; road

safety; the condition of our transport system and its assets; the resilience of our transport system to climate change; and CO₂ emissions from road transport. Where possible we have set aspirational, long-term targets for our key PIs as well as shorter-term three year targets to assess progress.

Level 2 – Performance Indicators (PIs)

This more detailed set of PIs that will inform us whether the actions set out in our LTP3 Implementation Plan are delivering our strategic outcomes. It contains a number of the PIs that we were monitoring during LTP2 that remain relevant. Where appropriate we have also developed new indicators to reflect our LTP3 strategy. Included within the new PIs is a comprehensive set of public satisfaction indicators, the source of which is currently the National Highways and Transport (NHT) Network Public Satisfaction Survey. Level 2 PIs in the main have three year targets attached to them but we have also been able to include several longer-term targets where trajectories have already been determined.

Development

In recognition of our changing priorities we have identified a number of areas where we need to develop appropriate performance measures and these are detailed at the end of the PI set.

Supporting data and information

Beyond our formal PI set is a regime that will allow us to collect the supporting data needed to evidence whether our activities are succeeding in delivering what they are supposed to. We will ensure that what we do and how we do it is subject to continuing challenge to ensure that our activities remain valid and are undertaken in the most efficient manner.

Further information can be found in the <u>plans and policies</u> area of our website or please contact:

Jason Watson
Continuous Improvement Manager
Environment & Transport Department
0116 3058308
Jason.watson@leics.gov.uk

Steve Rothwell
Senior Engineer, Transport Policy
Environment & Transport Department
0116 3057312
Stephen.rothwell@leics.gov.uk

| PI Ref | Description | Baseline | Baseline period | 2011/12 Target | 2012/13 Target | Long term target | | |
|--|--|----------|-----------------|---|---------------------------------|------------------|---------|---------|
| Supporting the economy and population growth | | | | | | | 2016 | 2026 |
| KPI 1 | Average vehicle speeds during the weekday morning peak (7am-10am) on locally managed 'A' roads in Leicestershire (mph) | 31.50 | 2009/10 | Trajectory / | targets to be | ТВС | твс | |
| PI 1 | Average vehicle speeds during the weekday morning peak (8am-9am) on key routes in Loughborough (mph) | 15.24 | 2011 | DfT has prov baseline. We | | 15.71 | 14.91 | |
| PI 2 | Average vehicle speeds during the weekday morning peak (8am-9am) on key routes in Melton Mowbray (mph) | 18.50 | 2011 | & Leicestersh Model (LLITN | И) to produce | 17.87 | 16.63 | |
| PI 3 | Average vehicle speeds during the weekday morning peak (8am-9am) on key routes in Market Harborough (mph) | 27.93 | 2011 | market towns incorporate a | | 27.48 | 26.04 | |
| PI 4 | Average vehicle speeds during the weekday morning peak (8am-9am) on key routes in Hinckley (mph) | 21.50 | 2011 | around forecast population & housing growth, the implementation of planned schemes & projected changes in travel behaviour. Our targets for these performance indicators are for average speeds to remain above the projected trajectories. | | | 21.09 | 20.38 |
| PI 5 | Average vehicle speeds during the weekday morning peak (8am-9am) on key routes in Coalville (mph) | 20.86 | 2011 | | | | 18.15 | 12.77 |
| PI 6 | Average vehicle speeds during the weekday morning peak (8am-9am) on key routes in Ashby de la Zouch (mph) | 25.71 | 2011 | | | | 24.74 | 23.01 |
| PI 7 | Total vehicle kilometres on County roads (LTP 38) (million km) | 3,723 | 2010 | | Target no | or this PI | | |
| Active and sustainable travel | | | | | | | 2015/16 | 2025/26 |
| KPI 2 | Proportion of urban trips under 5 miles taken by (i) walking & cycling, (ii) Public Transport (indicator under review) | | | | | | | |
| PI 8 | Local bus passenger journeys originating in the authority area (million) | 14.96m | 2010/11 | 14.50m | 14.30m | 14.10m | | |
| PI 9 | Bus services running on time | 78.1% | 2010/11 | 77.5% | 78.5% | 79.5% | | |
| PI 10 | Modal shift on the school run - reduce the proportion of children travelling to school as the only pupil in a car | 23.7% | 2010/11 | 23.7% | 23.2% | 22.7% | 21.5% | |

Environment and Transport Department Leicestershire County Council

| PI Ref | Description | Baseline | Baseline period | 2011/12 Target | 2012/13 Target | 2013/14 Target | Long ter | rm target | |
|--------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|--|
| Conne | ctivity and accessibility | | | | | | | | |
| KPI 3 | Working age people with access to employment by public transport (and other specified modes) (DfT Core Accessibility Data) | 81.1% | 2010 | | | | | | |
| PI 11 | % of 5-10 year old children within 15 minutes of a primary school by public transport / walking. (DfT Core Accessibility Data). | 99.2% | 2010 | | | | | | |
| PI 12 | % of 11-15 year old children within 20 minutes of a secondary school by public transport / walking. (DfT Core Accessibility Data). | 80.4% | 2010 | Our bus network review is likely to have various impacts on levels of accessibility across the county and we will establish targets once the outcomes of the review are known. | | | | | |
| PI 13 | % of 16-19 year old children within 30 minutes of further education by public transport / walking. (DfT Core Accessibility Data). | 88.4% | 2010 | | | | | | |
| PI 14 | % of households within 15 minutes of a GP surgery by public transport / walking. (DfT Core Accessibility Data). | 88.7% | 2010 | | | | | | |
| PI 15 | % of households within 60 minutes of a hospital by public transport / walking. (DfT Core Accessibility Data). | 89.0% | 2010 | | | | | | |
| PI 16 | % of households within 15 minutes of foodstores by public transport / walking. (DfT Core Accessibility Data). | 92.6% | 2010 | | | | | | |
| PI 17 | Journeys per week using complementary transport for disabled people | 2053 | 2010/11 | 2050 | 2050 | 2050 | | | |
| PI 18 | Countywide % of households without access to a car within 20 / 40 / 60 minutes of a main centre | 20 = 58.4% 40 = 95.3% 60 = 97.2% | 2010 | Our bus network review is likely to have various impacts on levels of accessibility across the county and we will establish targets once the outcomes of the review are known. | | | | | |
| Roads | safety | | | | | | 2015 | 2020 | |
| KPI 4 | Reduce total casualties on our roads by 29% by 2020 (from the 2005-09 baseline) | 2490 | 2005-09 | 2129 | 2089 | 2049 | 1971 | 1772 | |
| PI 19 | Reduce the number of people killed or seriously injured on our roads by 40% by 2020 (from the 2005-09 baseline) | 278 | 2005-09 | 246 | 237 | 228 | 211 | 167 | |
| PI 20 | Reduce the number of people incurring slight injuries on our roads by 27% by 2020 (from the 2005 09 baseline) | 2212 | 2005-09 | 1883 | 1852 | 1821 | 1760 | 1605 | |
| PI 21 | % reduction in casualties at sites where after scheme monitoring has been completed during the reporting year | | Target not applicable for this PI | | | | | | |

Environment and Transport Department Leicestershire County Council

| PI Ref | Description | Baseline | Baseline period | 2011/12 Target | 2012/13 Target | 2013/14 Target | Long term target | |
|--------|---|----------|-----------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|------------------|---------|
| The co | The condition and resilience of our transport system | | | | | | | 2025/26 |
| KPI 5 | % of the classified road network (A, B & C class roads) where structural maintenance should be considered (SCANNER) | 4% | 2010/11 | 5% | 6% | 7% | | - |
| KPI 6 | Attain Level 4 of the former NI 188 - Planning to adapt to Climate Change (Transport system) | Level 3 | 2010/11 | Level 4 | N/A | N/A | | N/A |
| PI 22 | % of the principal road network (A class roads) where structural maintenance should be considered (SCANNER) | 2% | 2010/11 | 3-4% | 4-5% | 4-6% | | |
| PI 23 | % of the non-principal road network (B & C class roads) where structural maintenance should be considered (SCANNER) | 5% | 2010/11 | 5-7% | 6-8% | 7-10% | | |
| PI 24 | % of the unclassified road network where maintenance should be considered (visual inspection) | 11% | 2010/11 | 13% | 15% | 16% | | |
| PI 25 | % of the footway network with recorded defects below the condition threshold (CVI enhanced Survey) | N/A | 2011/12 | N/A | A new condition survey will be used in 2011/12. Targets will be set once a | | | |
| PI 26 | % of category 1, 1a and 2 (the busier) footways with significant defects (CVI enhanced Survey) | N/A | 2011/12 | N/A | baseline pos known | | | |
| PI 27 | % of footpaths and other rights of way that are signposted and easy to use. | 78% | 2008/11 | 80% | 80% | 80% | | |
| PI 28 | % of street lighting columns needing replacement | 10.16% | 2010/11 | 8.20% | ТВС | TBC | | |
| PI 29 | % of traffic signal installations requiring complete renewal (age and fault history) | 0.60% | 2010/11 | <4% | <4% | <4% | | |
| PI 30 | % of bridge spans with a BCIcrit value below 75 | 10.0% | 2010/11 | 10.0% | 10.0% | 10.0% | | |

Environment and Transport Department Leicestershire County Council

| PI Ref | Description | Baseline | Baseline period | 2011/12 Target | 2012/13 Target | 2013/14 Target | Long term target | |
|---------|--|----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|
| Quality | Quality of life | | | | | | 2020/21 | |
| KPI 7 | Total CO ² emissions in the LA area originating from road transport (DECC) (kilotonnes) | 1,905 | 2009 | 1,873 | 1,849 | 1,825 | 1,682 | |
| PI 31 | Per capita CO² emissions in the LA area originating from road transport DECC) (tonnes) | 2.97 | 2009 | 2.86 | 2.80 | 2.75 | 1.48 | |
| PI 32 | Public satisfaction with the condition of roads | 45.4% | 2011 | | 45.0% | 45.0% | | |
| PI 33 | Public satisfaction with street lighting | 71.1% | 2011 | | 70.0% | 65.0% | | |
| PI 34 | Public satisfaction with pavements and footpaths | 67.2% | 2011 | | 69.0% | 69.0% | | |
| PI 35 | Public satisfaction with the local Rights of Way network | 54.8% | 2011 | | 53.0% | 54.0% | | |
| PI 36 | Public opinion of ease of access to key services (all people) | 78.6% | 2011 | | 80.0% | 80.0% | | |
| PI 37 | Public opinion of ease of access to key services (people with disabilities) | 72.8% | 2011 | | 70.0% | 70.0% | | |
| PI 38 | Public opinion of ease of access to key services (no car households) | 67.3% | 2011 | | 67.0% | 67.0% | | |
| PI 39 | Public satisfaction with local bus services | 56.7% | 2011 | | 59.0% | 60.0% | | |
| PI 40 | Public satisfaction with local PT information | 43.1% | 2011 | | 43.0% | 44.0% | | |
| PI 41 | Public satisfaction with cycle routes & facilities | 43.7% | 2011 | | 45.0% | 50.0% | | |

Development Performance Indicators

- 1. Average vehicle speeds during the weekday morning peak on key routes in the Principal Urban Area (PUA) (mph)
- 2. Cycle counts on key routes in Leicestershire
- 3. Pedestrian counts on key routes in Leicestershire
- 4. Public Rights of Way (PROW) usage
- 5. Modal shift on the journey to work reducing single occupancy car use on the journey to work
- 6. % coverage on the hourly bus network (i) overall (ii) rural (to be finalised following completion of the bus network review)
- 7. Rural accessibility outside the hourly bus network
- 8. Resilience of the transport network to climate change to replace the current KPI 6 (Planning to adapt to climate change) following achievement of Level 4 of the former NI 188
- 9. Cycle route / network condition

Environment and Transport Department

Leicestershire County Council