### **Equality & Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA)**

This Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) will enable you to assess the **new**, **proposed or significantly changed** policy/ practice/ procedure/ function/ service\*\* for equality and human rights implications.

Undertaking this assessment will help you to identify whether or not this policy/practice/ procedure/ function/ service\*\* may have an adverse impact on a particular community or group of people. It will ultimately ensure that as an Authority we do not discriminate and we are able to promote equality, diversity and human rights.

Before completing this form please refer to the EHRIA <u>guidance</u>, for further information about undertaking and completing the assessment. For further advice and guidance, please contact your <u>Departmental Equalities Group</u> or <u>equality@leics.gov.uk</u>

\*\*Please note: The term 'policy' will be used throughout this assessment as shorthand for policy, practice, procedure, function or service.

Key	/ Details
Name of policy being assessed:	Proposal to close Countesthorpe Nursery and support Countesthorpe Greenfield Primary school to establish an expanded governor-led pre-school, to open in September 2017
Department and section:	Children & Families Service, School Organisation Service, Leicestershire County Council
Name of lead officer/ job title and others completing this assessment:	Sharon Townsend; School Place Planning Officer
Contact telephone numbers:	0116 305 6661
Name of officer/s responsible for implementing this policy:	David Atterbury; Head of Strategy, School Sufficiency
Date EHRIA assessment started:	November 2016
Date EHRIA assessment completed:	January 2017

## **Section 1: Defining the policy**

#### Section 1: Defining the policy

You should begin this assessment by defining and outlining the scope of this policy. You should consider the impact or likely impact of the policy in relation to all areas of equality, diversity and human rights, as outlined in Leicestershire County Council's Equality Strategy.

### 1 What is new or changed in this policy? What has changed and why?

Countesthorpe Nursery occupies a Grade II listed building alongside residential properties on The Drive, an unadopted road. The building is not suitable to expand and requires significant improvement works.

At present there are sufficient places at the Countesthorpe Nursery to meet Free Early Education Entitlement (FEEE) places. However, the number of children attending the nursery has risen in the last 2 years - in the 2016 summer term 78 children claimed FEEE. By September 2017 eligible children will be entitled to an additional 15 hours FEEE. Ongoing housing development and forecast growth in the area suggest that it would not be possible to meet the additional demand for places within the current building.

The proposal is to close the existing Nursery facility and to support Greenfield Primary School to establish a governor led pre-school on the Greenfield Primary site in purpose built accommodation. As part of this process the Nursery would move from having LA maintained status to become a Governor run pre-school, this requires a statutory process for closure to be followed. The pre-school would also expand from offering 30places to offering 45 places.

Maintained nurseries are funded at a rate in excess of that for private, voluntary and independent providers. Countesthorpe Nursery receives £6.80 per hour; private providers receive between £3.58 and £3.65 per hour (depending on quality). The proposed introduction of the early years funding formula in April 2017 means all providers would be funded at a consistent rate. Whilst it is proposed that there will be a two year transition period over which the rate will reduce, the nursery will be unable to operate in its current form with a reduced amount of funding.

The nursery is located adjacent to the Countesthorpe Leysland Community College campus. Recent works undertaken on the campus to improve security and safeguarding means that parents accessing the Nursery can no longer park within the Academy grounds; this has caused pressure in relation to traffic movement on The Drive.

The establishment of a governor led pre-school on the Greenfield Primary site would require a larger purpose built facility. The proposal would address the increase in demand for places whilst co-location with the primary school will enable parents to drop off their children for either the nursery or primary school (or both) in one location.

Does this relate to any other policy within your department, the Council or with other partner organisations? *If yes, please reference the relevant policy or EHRIA. If unknown, further investigation may be required.* 

The introduction of the early year's single funding formula will decrease the funding available to the nursery. It is unlikely that it will receive sufficient funding in its current configuration to operate as a standalone unit, indeed the nursery has encountered financial problems in the past for which a shared headteacher has provided a solution. The movement to a governor led model will allow the nursery to respond to the new funding arrangements.

Who are the people/ groups (target groups) affected and what is the intended change or outcome for them?

It is expected that all staff will transfer to the new provision without the need for any restructure. Discussions have taken place with HR about the process to be followed and advice is being sought from Legal Services regarding the application of TUPE.

There will be a requirement to increase staff over time once additional places are taken up.

Trade Unions and professional associations will be briefed through DNCC. HR will develop a more detailed action plan once a decision on the transfer process is given – if it is deemed that TUPE does apply this could still be accommodated within the anticipated timescales to establish the new facility by September 2017.

The Headteacher, Governing Body and staff at the Nursery are keen to relocate and are working with the County Council to implement this scheme through the statutory processes. All parties involved see this as a positive step towards safeguarding the future of the Nursery.

Will this policy meet the Equality Act 2010 requirements to have due regard to the need to meet any of the following aspects? (Please tick and explain how)

	Yes	No	How?
Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation	<b>✓</b>		Ensuring children are taught in a community based setting, with clear policies addressing those listed.
Advance equality of opportunity between different groups	<b>✓</b>		All children and families, regardless of background or protected characteristics, will have an equal opportunity to apply for a place at the nursery.
Foster good relations between different groups	<b>~</b>		Community provision will be available which will support the development of good relations in the community.

# Section 2: Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) Screening

### Section 2: Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment Screening

The purpose of this section of the assessment is to help you decide if a full EHRIA is required.

If you have already identified that a full EHRIA is needed for this policy/ practice/ procedure/ function/ service, either via service planning processes or other means, then please go straight to <u>Section 3</u> on Page 7 of this document.

Secti	on 2		
	esearch and Consultation		
5.	Have the target groups been consulted about the following?	Yes	No*
	<ul> <li>a) their current needs and aspirations and what is important to them;</li> </ul>	✓	
	<ul> <li>b) any potential impact of this change on them (positive and negative, intended and unintended);</li> </ul>	<b>√</b>	
	c) potential barriers they may face		
		✓	
	A timeline document has been put together. The public con launched 3 <sup>rd</sup> January 2017 for six weeks ending 10 <sup>th</sup> Febru in sessions on 18 <sup>th</sup> January and 31 <sup>st</sup> January 2017 at the G School to show drawings of the new provision and receive Q0691_COUNTESTH Timeline FAQs.pdf ORPE NURSERY RELOCATION OS.pdf	ary 2016 wit reenfield Pri	h two drop
6.	If the target groups have not been consulted directly, have representatives been consulted or research explored (e.g. Equality Mapping)?	n/a	n/a
7.	Have other stakeholder groups/ secondary groups (e.g. carers of service users) been explored in terms of potential unintended impacts?	<b>✓</b>	

	Stakeholders included in this project are listed below:		
	> Parents:		
	<ul> <li>Greenfield Primary parents</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Parents with children at The Countesthorpe Nursery</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Parents in Countesthorpe area</li> </ul>		
	> Residents:		
	<ul> <li>Local residents</li> </ul>		
	County councillors:		
	<ul> <li>Lead member</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Local member</li> </ul>		
	> Schools:		
	<ul> <li>Greenfield Primary School</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Blaby Thistley Primary School</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Blaby Stokes Primary School</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Whetstone Badgerbrook Primary School</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Whetstone St Peters C.E. Primary School</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>School staff</li> </ul>		
	Partners / stakeholders:		
	<ul> <li>Oadby &amp; Wigston BC</li> </ul>		
	o DfE		
8.	*If you answered 'no' to the question above, please use the	space belov	w to outline
	what consultation you are planning to undertake, or why yo	-	
	be necessary.		
	n/a		
	IV G		
ı			

Secti B: M						
9.	Monitoring Impact Are there systems set up to: Yes					
	<ul> <li>a) monitor impact (positive and negative, intended and unintended) for different groups;</li> </ul>	✓				
	<ul> <li>b) enable open feedback and suggestions from different communities</li> </ul>	<b>✓</b>				
	The outcome of the consultation process will be reported to enable permission for the next stage to proceed, which is to notices to close the current Nursery.  In the meantime a planning application will be submitted with Nursery Building.  Feedback from the statutory notice will be reported to Lead this statutory are forced to Cohine.	publish stath details of	tutory the New nless major			
	Once the scheme commences on site it will be monitored vimeetings.	•				

Note: If no to Question 8, you will need to ensure that monitoring systems are established to check for impact on the protected characteristics.

### Section 2

### C: Potential Impact

10.

Use the table below to specify if any individuals or community groups who identify with any of the 'protected characteristics' may potentially be affected by this policy and describe any positive and negative impacts, including any barriers.

	Yes	No	Comments
Age	<b>√</b>		Neutral/positive Impact. The age of children enrolling at the pre-school is currently 3-5yrs and provides 30 places in the morning and 30 places in the afternoon. The new facility will allow the nursery to provide places for 2-5yrs with 45 places available in the morning and the afternoon or however they choose to configure the provision e.g. 10 full time places with 35 places available for morning and afternoon sessions.
Disability	<b>√</b>		Positive impact. The current building has limited access and its Grade II listing makes adaptation difficult. The new provision will be purpose built, DDA compliant and a single storey building on a flat site. Whilst currently none of the users have any disabilities the new building will future proof the potential needs of others.
Gender Reassignment		✓	The change will have a Neutral impact on this characteristic as support would be offered by the pre-school regardless of gender reassignment. The focus is to identify and support the needs of the child not to discriminate against.
Marriage and Civil Partnership		<b>√</b>	The change will have a Neutral impact on this characteristic as it is not relevant whether parents are married or in a civil partnership for a child to attend the pre-school.

Pregnancy and Maternity		<b>√</b>	The change will have a Neutral
Fregulaticy and Materinty		•	
			impact on this characteristic
Race		✓	The change will have a Neutral
			impact on this characteristic as
			support would be offered by the
			pre-school regardless of race.
Religion or Belief		✓	The change will have a Neutral
			impact on this characteristic as
			support would be offered by the
			pre-school regardless of
			Religion or Belief.
Sex		<b>√</b>	The change will have a Neutral
J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J			impact on this characteristic as
			support would be offered by the
Coveral Orientation		<b>√</b>	pre-school regardless of sex.
Sexual Orientation		•	The change will have a Neutral
			impact on this characteristic as
			support would be offered by the
			pre-school regardless of sexual
			orientation.
Other groups		✓	The change will have a Positive
e.g. rural isolation,			impact on this characteristic.
deprivation, health			Whilst none of these groups
inequality, carers, asylum			currently use the nursery the
seeker and refugee			new housing and growing
communities, looked after			demographic will increase
children, deprived or			demand for the facilities and
disadvantaged			therefore the proposed
communities			expansion will ensure that no
John Maritios			group will face barriers in
			accessing the pre-school should
			they wish to. The proposal is to
			open a nursery provision on a
			Primary School site that is 1
			mile from the present location
			(please see attached aerial
			photo). 95% of the children on
			the waiting list to attend the
			nursery also having siblings at
			Greenfield Primary School.
			POF
			<u> </u>
			Aerial View of
			Nursery and School.pdf
Community Cohesion	<b>✓</b>		The change will have a Positive
			impact on community cohesion
			as the co-location of the nursery
			onto the Greenfield site would
			ensure a better transition into
	1	l .	

			1		т		
					school.		
11.	Are the human rights of individuations there be an impact on human right						
	(Please tick)						
					ticle in the Human Rights Act may dure and how the human rights of		
	individuals are likely to be affect						
	impacts as well as barriers in be	nefiting	g fror	n th	ne above proposal]		
		Yes	No	•	Comments		
	Part 1: The Convention- Right	s and I	Free	dor	ns		
	Article 2: Right to life		✓				
	Article 3: Right not to be		✓				
	tortured or treated in an inhuman or degrading way						
	Article 4: Right not to be		<b>√</b>				
	subjected to slavery/ forced						
	labour			$\perp$			
	Article 5: Right to liberty and security		<b>√</b>				
	Article 6: Right to a fair trial		<b>√</b>				
	Article 7: No punishment without law		✓				
	Article 8: Right to respect for private and family life		<b>√</b>				
	Article 9: Right to freedom of		<b>√</b>				
	thought, conscience and religion						
	Article 10: Right to freedom		<b>√</b>				
	of expression						
	Article 11: Right to freedom of assembly and association		✓				
	Article 12: Right to marry		<b>√</b>	_			
	Article 14: Right not to be		<b>√</b>				
	discriminated against						
	Part 2: The First Protocol						
	Article 1: Protection of				neutral impact		
	property/ peaceful enjoyment						
	Article 2: Right to education	<b>√</b>			Further enhancing the availability		
		positi	ve		of high quality pre-school places		

	Article 3: Right	to free			No impac	<u> </u>		
	elections	10 1100			Tro impao	•		
Secti								
Secu	011 2							
D: De	ecision							
12.	Is there evidence or any other reason to suggest that:			)	Yes	No	Unknown	
	<ul><li>a) this policy could have a different affect or adverse impact on any section of the community;</li><li>b) any section of the community may face barriers in benefiting from the proposal</li></ul>					✓		
				e		<b>√</b>		
13.	13. Based on the answers to the questions above, what is the likely impact of this policy				ct of this			
	No Impact	Positive Impact  Neutral Impact  Negative Impact or Impact Unknown						
Note: If the decision is 'Negative Impact' or 'Impact Not Known' an EHRIA Report is required.					RIA Report			
14.	Is an EHRIA report required?			Yes			No 🗸	
Secti	Section 2: Completion of EHRIA Screening							

Upon completion of the screening section of this assessment, you should have identified whether an EHRIA Report is required for further investigation of the impacts of this policy.

**Option 1:** If you identified that an EHRIA Report <u>is required</u>, continue to <u>Section 3</u> on Page 7 of this document to complete.

**Option 2:** If there are <u>no</u> equality, diversity or human rights impacts identified and an EHRIA report <u>is not required</u>, continue to <u>Section 4</u> on Page 14 of this document to complete.

## Section 4: Sign off and scrutiny

Upon completion, the Lead Officer completing this assessment is required to sign the document in the section below.

It is required that this Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) is scrutinised by your <u>Departmental Equalities Group</u> and signed off by the Chair of the Group.

Once scrutiny and sign off has taken place, a depersonalised version of this EHRIA should be published on Leicestershire County Council's website. Please send a copy of this form to <a href="mailto:louisa.jordan@leics.gov.uk">louisa.jordan@leics.gov.uk</a>, Members Secretariat, in the Chief Executive's department for publishing.

Section 4 A: Sign Off and Scrutiny
Confirm, as appropriate, which elements of the EHRIA have been completed and are required for sign off and scrutiny.
Equality and Human Rights Assessment Screening
Equality and Human Rights Assessment Report
1 <sup>st</sup> Authorised Signature (EHRIA Lead Officer):  Date: 06.02.17
2 <sup>nd</sup> Authorised Signature (DEG Chair): Date: 06.02.17