

Equality & Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA)

This Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) will enable you to assess the **new**, **proposed or significantly changed** policy/ practice/ procedure/ function/ service** for equality and human rights implications.

Undertaking this assessment will help you to identify whether or not this policy/practice/ procedure/ function/ service** may have an adverse impact on a particular community or group of people. It will ultimately ensure that as an Authority we do not discriminate and we are able to promote equality, diversity and human rights.

Before completing this form please refer to the EHRIA <u>guidance</u>, for further information about undertaking and completing the assessment. For further advice and guidance, please contact your <u>Departmental Equalities Group</u> or <u>equality@leics.gov.uk</u>

**Please note: The term 'policy' will be used throughout this assessment as shorthand for policy, practice, procedure, function or service.

Key Details				
110)	Dotails			
Name of policy being assessed:	Shared Lives Fee review			
Department and section:	Direct Services			
Name of lead officer/ job title and others completing this assessment:	Louise Olley – Business Change Manager			
Contact telephone numbers:	0116 3055060			
Name of officer/s responsible for implementing this policy:	Zubear Patel – Head of Service, Direct Services			
Date EHRIA assessment started:	1 November 2018			
Date EHRIA assessment completed:				

Section 1: Defining the policy

Section 1: Defining the policy

You should begin this assessment by defining and outlining the scope of this policy. You should consider the impact or likely impact of the policy in relation to all areas of equality, diversity and human rights, as outlined in Leicestershire County Council's Equality Strategy.

1 What is new or changed in this policy? What has changed and why?

Shared Lives Carers are self- employed carers who are contracted with Leicestershire County Council to provide long term placements, day services and/ or overnight short breaks. Shared Lives carers are supported and monitored by the LCC Shared Lives Service which is regulated by CQC. Referrals for Shared Lives services are made to the team by commissioning workers placements and services are made by locality commissioning teams.

The focus of this EHRIA is the impact that these changes may have on people in receipt of shared lives services, and not the SHL carers.

Shared Lives (SHL) Carers received an increase in payment rates in April 2018. Prior to this no review or increase had been implemented since 2013. The uplift in April 2018 was agreed on the basis that fee review work is undertaken to establish a methodology for future annual uplifts.

This EHRIA is concerned with the changes that are proposed as a result of the review. The recommendations have been informed by Shared lives Plus Guidance, Shared Lives Carers feedback and any relevant Departmental policies.

The following recommendations are being taken to A&C DMT on 27 February 2019, for approval:

- To align all long term and short break rates paid in Leicestershire to the UK
 average long term rates, as recommended by Shared Lives Plus. Where
 existing rates exceed the UK rates, current rates should continue. This will
 result in an increase in most payments. Where the current rates are lower
 than the recommended rates, the existing rates will remain static.
- To maintain payments at 100 per cent when individual from a long term Shared Lives Placement is accessing their Shared Lives short break, regardless of the location.
- To move cancellation payments to the electronic system by introducing 100 per cent payment within two days of stay.
- To reduce the number and standardise the session lengths for Shared Lives Community Life Choices (CLC, previously known as day services) in line with other CLC.
- To use Consumer Price Index (CPI) to derive future uplifts for Short Breaks and Long-Term Placements (care and support element only). Other elements continue to be set by Department of Work and Pensions (DWP) and Local Housing Allowance (LHA).
- To discontinue payment of separate anti-social supplements, and travel expenses to CLC Shared Lives Carers and introduce Band F equivalent to enable restricted payment of mileage for those eligible.

Does this relate to any other policy within your department, the Council or with other partner organisations? If yes, please reference the relevant policy or EHRIA. If unknown, further investigation may be required.

Yes – changes specifically relating to Community Life Choices.

In October 2016 Cabinet agreed changes to CLC provision and as part of the implementation of a new model for CLC, standardised sessions times were introduced. This change was not applied to Shared Lives and as such Shared Lives sessions varies from the rest of the sector.

The introduction of standard bandings and a move aware from wide ranging sessions, enables the application of Shared Lives Plus recommendations regarding minimum hourly rates.

The table below shows current and proposed session times, bands and rates:

Band	Current session in hrs	Proposed session in hrs	Current Rate*	Proposed Rate
1 (equivalent	0-4	3	£21	£27
to £9ph)	4-6	6	£31	£54
	7-8	~	£41	N/A
2 (equivalent	0-4	3	£34	£34 (£ <mark>31.50</mark>)
to £10.50ph)	4-6	6	£57	£63
	7-8	~	£68	N/A
3 (equivalent	0-4	3	£44	£44 (£ <mark>34.50</mark>)
to £11.50ph)	4-6	6	£69	£69
	7-8	~	£82	N/A

The discontinuation of payment of travel expenses for SHL carers who provide day services is in line with all other service areas where an individual is responsible for funding their own transport unless eligible for transport (applying the current Transport Policy).

Who are the people/ groups (target groups) affected and what is the intended change or outcome for them?

Shared Lives Carers are the main group of people affected by these proposals, however there will be some residual impact of those people who use the services particularly in the areas mentioned above due to the changes in the banding and the withdrawal of

SHL Payment rates are due to increase as a result of the review and subsequent recommendations. The overall impact of these changes is therefore assessed as being positive.

Theoretically any increase in social care services has a residual impact on individuals, although there is no one in receipt of the service who is fully funding their care and support, therefore they will not be affected in this way. Future users who may be eligible to pay full costs for their care would be, however this is not justification to not increase rates in line with guidance.

4	Will this policy meet the Equality Act 2010 requirements to have due regard to the need to meet any of the following aspects? (Please tick and explain how)						
	Yes No How?						
	Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation	X		The protected groups who are of principal concern in this review are people with a range of physical, learning disability and mental health problems. Others may also be affected. Appropriate payment levels will ensure that the legal protections are observed.			
	Advance equality of opportunity between different groups	х		Ensuring fairness across the range referred to above assists in meeting this requirement.			
	Foster good relations between different groups	x		Success in achieving the aims set out in the above two responses will assist in meeting this requirement.			

Section 2: Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) Screening

Section 2: Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment Screening
The purpose of this section of the assessment is to help you decide if a full EHRIA is required.

If you have already identified that a full EHRIA is needed for this policy/ practice/ procedure/ function/ service, either via service planning processes or other means, then please go straight to Section 3 on Page 7 of this document.

Sect	ion 2		
A: R	esearch and Consultation		
5.	Have the target groups been consulted about the following?	Yes	No*
	a) their current needs and aspirations and what is important to them;	X	
	b) any potential impact of this change on them (positive and negative, intended and unintended);		
	c) potential barriers they may face	X	
6.	If the target groups have not been consulted directly, have representatives been consulted or research explored (e.g. Equality Mapping)?		

7.	Have other stakeholder groups/ secondary groups (e.g. carers of service users) been explored in terms of potential unintended impacts?		
8.	*If you answered 'no' to the question above, please use the what consultation you are planning to undertake, or why yo be necessary.	•	
	Extensive engagement with Shared Lives Carers took pamend recommendations including a number of works county and an online forum as a follow up and opportune the county was collected and reported accurately	hops acros	s the

Sect B: M	ion 2 onitoring Impact		
9.	Are there systems set up to:	Yes	No
	a) monitor impact (positive and negative, intended and unintended) for different groups;	X	
	b) enable open feedback and suggestions from different communities	x	

Note: If no to Question 8, you will need to ensure that monitoring systems are established to check for impact on the protected characteristics.

Section 2

C: Potential Impact

10.

Use the table below to specify if any individuals or community groups who identify with any of the 'protected characteristics' may potentially be affected by this policy and describe any positive and negative impacts, including any barriers.

	Yes	No	Comments
Ago		X	The service is available to
Age		^	anyone eligible for social care
			regardless of age. There are
			107 people accessing the SHL
			services, 100 of who are
			under 65 years. Neither working age adults or older
			adults are not
			disproportionately affected by
			these changes. Actual details
			about who is affected by the
			changes will be determined
			only at the point that they receive a assessment/ review.
Disability		Х	All people accessing the
		_	services are eligible for social
			care services and therefore all

-			
			have a disability and the
			service is open to all
			disabilities. Actual details
			about who is affected will be
			determined only at the point
			that they receive a
			assessment/ review but
			variations may occur due to
			how people access the
			service, and not as a result of
			these changes.
	Gender Reassignment	Х	There is no LAS data available
	Gondon Roddongon		on the service users for this
			protected characteristic due
			to what is recorded by
			commissioning teams.
			However proposals will affect
			all people using the services
			which will not vary due to
	Marriage and Civil	V	gender reassignment.
	Marriage and Civil	X	There is no LAS data available
	Partnership		on the service users for this
			protected characteristic due
			to what is recorded by
			commissioning teams.
			However proposals will affect
			all people using the services
			which will not vary due to
			marriage and civil
			partnership.
	Pregnancy and Maternity	X	There is no LAS data available
			on the service users for this
			protected characteristic due
			to what is recorded by
			commissioning teams.
			However proposals will affect
			all people using the services
			which will not vary due to
			pregnancy and maternity.
	Race	Х	There is limited LAS data
			available on the service users
			for this protected
			characteristic due to what is
			recorded by commissioning
			teams. However proposals
			will affect all people using the
			services which will not vary
			due to race.
	Religion or Belief	Х	There is no LAS data available
	. toligion of Dollor		on the service users for this
			protected characteristic due
			to what is recorded by
			to what is recorded by

			commissioning teams.
			However proposals will affect
			all people using the services
			which will not vary due to
	Sex	Х	There is a relatively similar
			number of males and females
			access the service (51 and
			56). The changes will affect all
			people using the services.
	Sexual Orientation	Х	There is no LAS data available
			on the service users for this
			protected characteristic due
			to what is recorded by
			commissioning teams.
			However proposals will affect
			all people using the services
			which will not vary due to
			sexual orientation.
	Other groups	Х	There is no LAS data available
	e.g. rural isolation,		on the service users for this
	deprivation, health		protected characteristic due
	inequality, carers, asylum		to what is recorded by
	seeker and refugee		commissioning teams.
	communities, looked after		However proposals will affect
	children, deprived or		all people using the services
	disadvantaged		which will not vary due to
	communities		being in other groups.
			3 1 3 3 1 1
	Community Cohesion	Х	SHL services are intended to
			support vulnerable
			individuals in a family and
			community setting. This
			model of support should have
			a positive impact on
			community cohesion and the
			proposals aim to improve
			reach of the service.
11.			

11.

Are the human rights of individuals <u>potentially</u> affected by this proposal? Could there be an impact on human rights for any of the protected characteristics? **(Please tick)**

Explain why you consider that any particular <u>article in the Human Rights Act</u> may apply to your policy/ practice/ function or procedure and how the human rights of individuals are likely to be affected below: [NB. Include positive and negative impacts as well as barriers in benefiting from the above proposal]

Yes	No	Comments

	Article 2: Right to life		Х				
	Article 3: Right not to be tortured or treated in an		Х				
	inhuman or degrading way Article 4: Right not to be		X				
	subjected to slavery/ forced labour						
	Article 5: Right to liberty and security		X				
	Article 6: Right to a fair trial		Х				
	Article 7: No punishment without law		Х				
	Article 8: Right to respect for private and family life	X		support in a fa setting. has a individu private	services are intended to rt vulnerable individuals family and community g. This model of support a positive impact on lual's right to respect for e and family life and the sals aim to improve reach of the service		
	Article 9: Right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion		Х				
	Article 10: Right to freedom of expression		Х				
	Article 11: Right to freedom of assembly and association		Х				
	Article 12: Right to marry		Х				
	Article 14: Right not to be X discriminated against						
	Part 2: The First Protocol						
	Article 1: Protection of						
	property/ peaceful enjoyment						
	Article 2: Right to education						
	Article 3: Right to free elections						
Secti D: De	on 2 ecision						
12.	Is there evidence or any other re suggest that:	ason to	0	Yes	No	Unknown	
	a) this policy could have a different affect or adverse impact on any section of the community;				X		

	, ,	on of the community ners in benefiting from	-			
13.	Based on the answers to the questions above, what is the likely impact of this policy					
	No Impact Positive Impact Neutral Impact X Negative Impact or X Impact Unknown					
Note: If the decision is 'Negative Impact' or 'Impact Not Known' an EHRIA Report is required.						
14.	Is an EHRIA report required?		Yes	1	No	

Section 2: Completion of EHRIA Screening

Upon completion of the screening section of this assessment, you should have identified whether an EHRIA Report is required for further investigation of the impacts of this policy.

Option 1: If you identified that an EHRIA Report <u>is required</u>, continue to <u>Section 3</u> on Page 7 of this document to complete.

Option 2: If there are <u>no</u> equality, diversity or human rights impacts identified and an EHRIA report <u>is not required</u>, continue to <u>Section 4</u> on Page 14 of this document to complete.

Section 3: Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) Report

Section 3: Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment Report

This part of the assessment will help you to think thoroughly about the impact of this policy and to critically examine whether it is likely to have a positive or negative impact

on different groups within our diverse community. It is also to identify any barriers that may detrimentally affect under-represented communities or groups, who may be disadvantaged by the way in which we carry out our business.

Using the information gathered either within the EHRIA Screening or independently of this process, this EHRIA Report should be used to consider the impact or likely impact of the policy in relation to all areas of equality, diversity and human rights as outlined in Leicestershire County Council's Equality Strategy.

Section 3

A: Research and Consultation

When considering the target groups it is important to think about whether new data needs to be collected or whether there is any existing research that can be utilised.

- **15.** Based on the gaps identified either in the EHRIA Screening or independently of this process, <u>how</u> have you now explored the following and <u>what</u> does this information/data tell you about each of the diverse groups?
 - a) current needs and aspirations and what is important to individuals and community groups (including human rights);
 - b) likely impacts (positive and negative, intended and unintended) to individuals and community groups (including human rights);
 - c) likely barriers that individuals and community groups may face (including human rights)

16. Is any further research, data collection or evidence required to fill any gaps in your understanding of the potential or known affects of the policy on target groups?

consi	n considering who is affected by this proposed policy, it is important to think about ulting with and involving a range of service users, staff or other stakeholders who be affected as part of the proposal.
17.	Based on the gaps identified either in the EHRIA Screening or independently of this process, <u>how</u> have you further consulted with those affected on the likely impact and <u>what</u> does this consultation tell you about each of the diverse groups?
18.	Is any further consultation required to fill any gaps in your understanding of the potential or known effects of the policy on target groups?
Secti B: D	
19.	Based on any evidence and findings, use the table below to specify if any
	individuals or community groups who identify with any instanted characteristics?

B: Recognised Impact 19. Based on any evidence and findings, use the table below to specify if any individuals or community groups who identify with any 'protected characteristics' are likely be affected by this policy. Describe any positive and negative impacts, including what barriers these individuals or groups may face. Comments

Age	
Disability	
Gender Reassignment	
Marriage and Civil Partnership	
Pregnancy and Maternity	
Race	
Religion or Belief	
Sex	
Sexual Orientation	
Other groups e.g. rural isolation, deprivation, health inequality, carers, asylum seeker and refugee communities, looked after children, deprived or disadvantaged communities	
Community Cohesion	

20.	particular Articles in the Human Righ	, use the table below to specify if any ats Act are likely apply to your policy. Are the symmunity groups affected by this proposal? Is any of the protected characteristics?
		Comments

	and Freedoms
Article 2: Right to life	
Article 3: Right not to be	
ortured or treated in an	
nhuman or degrading way	
Article 4: Right not to be	
subjected to slavery/ forced	
abour	
Article 5: Right to liberty and	
security	
Article 6: Right to a fair trial	
Article 7: No punishment	
without law	
Article 8: Right to respect for	
private and family life	
Article 9: Right to freedom of	
hought, conscience and	
religion	
Article 10: Right to freedom of expression	
Article 11: Right to freedom of	
assembly and association	
Article 12: Right to marry	
Article 14: Right not to be	
discriminated against	
Part 2: The First Protocol	
Article 1: Protection of property/	
peaceful enjoyment	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Article 2: Right to education	
·	
Article 3: Right to free elections	
n 3	

S

policy.

If you consider there to be actual or potential adverse impact or discrimination, please outline this below. State whether it is justifiable or legitimate and give 21. reasons.

N.B.		
,		e identified adverse impact or discrimination that is <u>illegal</u> , you are required n to remedy this immediately.
you v	,	ve identified adverse impact or discrimination that is justifiable or legitimate, ed to consider what actions can be taken to mitigate its effect on those
22.	Where	e there are potential barriers, negative impacts identified and/or barriers or ets are unknown, please outline how you propose to minimise all negative et or discrimination.
	a)	include any relevant research and consultations findings which highlight the best way in which to minimise negative impact or discrimination
	b)	consider what barriers you can remove, whether reasonable adjustments may be necessary, and how any unmet needs that you have identified can be addressed
	c)	if you are not addressing any negative impacts (including human rights) or potential barriers identified for a particular group, please explain why
Secti		a decision
23.	Sumn	narise your findings and give an overview as to whether the policy will meet
		stershire County Council's responsibilities in relation to equality, diversity, nunity cohesion and human rights.

Secti	on 3
	onitoring, evaluation & review of your policy
24.	Are there processes in place to review the findings of this EHRIA and make appropriate changes? In particular, how will you monitor potential barriers and any positive/ negative impact?
25.	How will the recommendations of this assessment be built into wider planning and review processes? e.g. policy reviews, annual plans and use of performance management systems

Section 3:

F: Equality and human rights improvement plan

Please list all the equality objectives, actions and targets that result from the Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) (continue on separate sheets as necessary). These now need to be included in the relevant service plan for mainstreaming and performance management purposes.

Equality Objective	Action	Target	Officer Responsible	By when

Section 4: Sign off and scrutiny

Upon completion, the Lead Officer completing this assessment is required to sign the document in the section below.

It is required that this Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) is scrutinised by your <u>Departmental Equalities Group</u> and signed off by the Chair of the Group.

Once scrutiny and sign off has taken place, a depersonalised version of this EHRIA should be published on Leicestershire County Council's website. Please send a copy of this form to louisa.jordan@leics.gov.uk, Members Secretariat, in the Chief Executive's department for publishing.

Section 4 A: Sign Off and Scrutiny	
Confirm, as appropriate, which elements of the EHRIA have been completed and are required for sign off and scrutiny.	
Equality and Human Rights Assessment Screening	
Equality and Human Rights Assessment Report	
1 st Authorised Signature (EHRIA Lead Officer):	
2 nd Authorised Signature (DEG Chair):	
Date:	